arXiv:1506.04073v3 [math.GT] 11 Jul 2016

S^1-EQUIVARIANT BORDISM, INVARIANT METRICS OF POSITIVE SCALAR CURVATURE, AND RIGIDITY OF ELLIPTIC GENERA

MICHAEL WIEMELER

Abstract. We construct geometric generators of the effective S^1-equivariant Spin- (and oriented) bordism groups with two inverted. We apply this construction to the question of which S^1-manifolds admit invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature.

As a further application of our results we give a new proof of the vanishing of the $\hat{A}$-genus of a spin manifold with non-trivial S^1-action originally proven by Atiyah and Hirzebruch. Moreover, based on our computations we can give a bordism-theoretic proof for the rigidity of elliptic genera originally proven by Taubes and Bott–Taubes.

1. Introduction

The problem of determining generators of S^1-equivariant bordism rings dates back to the 1970s. There first results were obtained by Uchida [34], Ossa [25], Kosniowski and Yahia [20] and Hattori and Taniguchi [14]. Most of these papers deal with oriented or unitary bordism. Moreover they construct additive generators.

Using these generators bordism-theoretic proofs of the Kosniowski formula and the Atiyah–Singer formula have been given [14], [17]. The Kosniowski formula expresses the T_y-genus of a unitary S^1-manifold in terms of fixed point data [19]. The Atiyah–Singer formula expresses the signature of an oriented S^1-manifold in terms of the signatures of the fixed point components [1]. They have originally been proved using the Atiyah–Singer G-signature theorem.

More recently the problem of finding multiplicative generators for unitary bordism was studied by Sinha [31].

Semi-free S^1-equivariant Spin-bordism has previously been considered by Borsari [4]. Her motivation was a question of Witten, who asked if the equivariant indices of certain twisted Dirac operators are constants and suggested to approach this question via equivariant bordism theory [36, pp. 258-259]. The indices of these twisted Dirac operators are coefficients in the Laurent expansion of the universal elliptic genus in the $\hat{A}$-cusp. Therefore a positive answer to Witten's question is implied by the rigidity of elliptic genera which was proven by Taubes [33] and Bott–Taubes [5]. However, contrary to what Witten suggested their proof was not based on equivariant boordism theory but instead used equivariant K-theory, the Lefschetz fixed point formula and some complex analysis. Later an alternative proof was given by Liu [22] using modularity properties of the universal elliptic genus.

It seems that after their proofs appeared nobody carried out the bordism theoretic approach to the rigidity problem. We pick up this problem and prove the rigidity of elliptic genera via equivariant bordism theory, thus realizing Witten's original plan. Moreover, we give a bordism-theoretic proof for the vanishing of the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20, 57S15, 57R85, 58J26.

Key words and phrases. S^1-manifolds, metrics of positive scalar curvature, rigidity of elliptic genera, equivariant bordism, $\hat{A}$-genus.

The research for this paper was supported by DFG grant HA 3160/6-1.

$\hat{A}$-genus of a Spin-manifold which admits a non-trivial smooth S^1-action originally proved by Atiyah and Hirzebruch [2].

This classical result follows from general existence results for S^1-invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature (see Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6). Up to a power of 2 these results are conclusive, thus finishing a line of thought begun in [3] and continued in [27], [13], [35]. We remark that the Atiyah-Hirzebruch vanishing theorem mentioned before and the existence of S^1-invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature have not been considered as related subjects, so far.

These proofs are based on a construction of additive generators of the S^1-equivariant Spin- and oriented bordism groups. These generators are described in the following theorem. To state it we first have to fix some notations.

Let $G = SO$ or $G = \text{Spin}$. Denote by Ω_n^{G,S^1} the bordism group of n-dimensional manifolds with effective S^1-actions and G-structures on their tangent bundles. In the non-spin case we also assume that the closed strata, i.e. the submanifolds of H-fixed points M^H for any subgroup $H \subset S^1$, of our S^1-manifolds are orientable.

Moreover, denote by $\Omega_{\geq 4,n}^{G,S^1}$ similar groups of those S^1-manifolds which satisfy the above conditions and do not have fixed point components of codimension two. We also assume in this case that the bordisms between the manifolds do not have codimension-two fixed point components.

We also need the notion of a generalized Bott manifold. A generalized Bott manifold M is a manifold of the following type: There exists a sequence of fibrations

$$M = N_l \to N_{l-1} \to \dots N_1 \to N_0 = \{pt\},$$

such that N_0 is a point and each N_i is the projectivization of a Whitney sum of $n_i + 1$ complex line bundles over N_{i-1}. Then M has dimension $2n = 2\sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i$ and admits an effective action of an n-dimensional torus T which has a fixed point. Hence it is a so-called torus manifold (for details on the construction of this torus action see Section 2).

Theorem 1.1. *$\Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\Omega_{\geq 4,*}^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}]$ are generated as modules over $\Omega_*^G[\frac{1}{2}]$ by manifolds of the following two types:*

1. *Semi-free S^1-manifolds, i.e. S^1-manifolds M, such that all orbits in M are free orbits or fixed points.*
2. *Generalized Bott manifolds M, equipped with a restricted S^1-action.*

The proof of this result is based on techniques first used by Kosniowski and Yahia [20] in combination with a result of Saihi [28].

Using the above result, we can give a bordism-theoretic proof of the rigidity of elliptic genera. This gives the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 ([5]). *Let $\varphi : \Omega_n^{Spin,S^1} \to H^{**}(BS^1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ be an equivariant elliptic genus. Then $\varphi(M)$ is constant, as a power series in z, for every effective S^1-manifold $[M] \in \Omega_n^{Spin,S^1}$.*

The idea of our proof of this theorem is as follows. By Theorem 1.1 one only has to prove the rigidity of elliptic genera for semi-free S^1-manifolds and generalized Bott manifolds. For semi-free S^1-manifolds this was done by Ochanine [24]. His proof can be modified in such a way that it gives the rigidity of T-equivariant elliptic genera of effective T-manifolds M such that all fixed point components have minimal codimension $2\dim T$. Here T denotes a torus. This minimality condition is satisfied for torus manifolds and therefore also for generalized Bott manifolds. So the theorem follows.

We also apply Theorem 1.1 to the question of which S^1-manifolds admit invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature. It is necessary for this application to consider the bordism groups $\Omega^{G,S^1}_{\geq 4,n}$ because the bordism principle which we will prove to attack this question only works for bordisms which do not have fixed point components of codimension two.

The existence question in the non-equivariant setting was finally answered by Gromov and Lawson [12] for high dimensional simply connected manifolds which do not admit spin structures and by Stolz [32] for high dimensional simply connected manifolds which admit such a structure.

Their results are summarized by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of dimension at least five. Then the following holds:*

1. *If M does not admit a spin-structure, then M admits a metric of positive scalar curvature.*
2. *If M admits a spin-structure, then M admits a metric of positive scalar curvature if and only if $\alpha(M) = 0$.*

In the above theorem $\alpha(M)$ denotes the α-invariant of M. It is a KO-theoretic refinement of the $\hat{A}$-genus of M and an invariant of the spin-bordism type of M.

The proof of this theorem consists of two steps; one is geometric, the other is topological. The geometric step is to show that a manifold M which is constructed from another manifold N by surgery in codimension at least 3 admits a metric of positive scalar curvature if N admits such a metric. This is the so-called surgery principle. It has been shown independently by Gromov–Lawson [12] and Schoen–Yau [29].

From this principle it follows that a manifold of dimension at least five admits a metric of positive scalar curvature if and only if its class in a certain bordism group can be represented by a manifold with such a metric. This is called the bordism principle.

The final step in the proof of the above theorem is then to find all bordism classes which can be represented by manifolds which admit a metric of positive scalar curvature.

The answer to the existence question in the equivariant setting is less clear.

First of all, the question if there exists an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature on a G-manifold, G a compact Lie group acting effectively, has been answered positively by Lawson and Yau [21] for the case that the identity component of G is non-abelian. The proof of this result does not use bordism theory or surgery. It is based on the fact that a homogeneous G-space admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature, which is induced from an bi-invariant metric on G.

If the identity component of G is abelian, then the answer to this question is more complicated. In this case the existence question was first studied by Bérard Bergery [3].

He gave examples of simply connected manifolds with a non-trivial S^1-action which admit metrics of positive scalar curvature, but no S^1-invariant such metric. There are also examples of manifolds which admit S^1-actions, but no metric of positive scalar curvature. Such examples are given by certain homotopy spheres not bounding spin manifolds [6], [30], [16].

Bérard Bergery also showed that the proofs of the surgery principle carry over to the equivariant setting for actions of any compact Lie group G. Based on this several authors have tried to adopt the proof of Theorem 1.3 to the equivariant situation.

At first a bordism principle has been proposed by Rosenberg and Weinberger [27] for finite cyclic groups G and actions without fixed point components of codimension two. The proof of this theorem is potentially problematic, because its proof needs more assumptions than those which are stated in the theorem (see the discussion following Corollary 16 in [13]). Based on Rosenberg's and Weinberger's theorem Farsi [9] studied Spin-manifolds of dimension less than eight with actions of cyclic groups of odd order.

Later Hanke [13] proved a bordism principle for actions of any compact Lie group G which also takes codimension-two singular strata into account. He used this result to prove the existence of invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature on certain non-spin S^1-manifolds which do not have fixed points and satisfy Condition C (see Definition 2.1).

In [35] the author showed that every S^1-manifold with a fixed point component of codimension two admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. In that paper existence results for invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature on semi-free S^1-manifolds without fixed point components of codimension two were also discussed.

Here we extend the results from that paper to certain non-semi-free S^1-manifolds. We prove the following existence results for metrics of positive scalar curvature on non-semi-free S^1-manifolds.

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a connected effective S^1-manifold of dimension at least six such that $\pi_1(M_{max}) = 0$, M_{max} is not Spin and for all subgroups $H \subset S^1$, M^H is orientable. Then for some $k \geq 0$, the equivariant connected sum of 2^k copies of M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. Here M_{max} denotes the maximal stratum of M, i.e. the union of the principal orbits.*

Here a equivariant connected sum of two S^1-manifolds M_1, M_2 can be a fiber connected sum at principal orbits, a connected sum at fixed points or more generally the result of a zero-dimensional equivariant surgery on orbits $O_i \subset M_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Now we turn to a similar result in the case that M is a spin manifold. For this we first note that on spin manifolds there are two types of actions. Those which lift to actions on the spin-structure and those which do not lift to the spin-structure. The actions of the first type are called actions of even type. Whereas the actions of the second kind are called actions of odd type.

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be a spin S^1-manifold with $\dim M \geq 6$, an effective S^1-action of odd type and $\pi_1(M_{max}) = 0$. Then there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the equivariant connected sum of 2^k copies of M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature.*

Theorem 1.6. *There is an equivariant bordism invariant $\hat{A}_{S^1}$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, such that, for a spin S^1-manifold M with $\dim M \geq 6$, an effective S^1-action of even type and $\pi_1(M_{max}) = 0$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M) = 0$.
2. *There is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the equivariant connected sum of 2^k copies of M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature.*

For free S^1-manifolds M, $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M)$ is equal to the $\hat{A}$-genus of the orbit space of M. If the action on M is semi-free, then it coincides with a generalized $\hat{A}$-genus of the orbit space defined by Lott [23]. In general we can identify $\hat{A}_{S^1}$ with the index of a Dirac-operator defined on a submanifold with boundary of $M_{\max}/S^1$.

$\hat{A}_{S^1}(M)$ can only be non-trivial if the dimension of M is $4k + 1$. Moreover, the usual $\hat{A}$-genus of M is zero in these dimensions. It also vanishes, if M admits a metric of positive scalar curvature. Therefore Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 imply the following theorem which has originally been proved by Atiyah and Hirzebruch [2] using the Lefschetz fixed point formula and some complex analysis.

Theorem 1.7. *Let M be a Spin-manifold with a non-trivial S^1-action. Then $\hat{A}(M) = 0$.*

The proofs of the above results are based on a generalization (Theorem 5.9) of the bordism principle proved by Hanke [13] to S^1-manifolds with fixed points. When this is established the theorems follow from the fact that our generators of the second type of $\Omega^{G,S^1}_{\geq 4,*}[\frac{1}{2}]$ admit invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature and the existence results from [35] for semi-free S^1-manifolds.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 for manifolds satisfying Condition C. Then in Section 4 we generalize these results to manifolds not satisfying Condition C. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Then we turn to the existence question for invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature on S^1-manifolds. In Section 5 we generalize the bordism principle of Hanke [13] to S^1-manifolds with fixed points. Then in Section 6 we show that, under mild assumptions on the isotropy groups of the codimension-two singular strata, normally symmetric metrics are dense in all invariant metrics on an S^1-manifold with respect to the C^2-topology. Here normally symmetric metrics are metrics which are invariant under certain extra S^1-symmetries which are defined on small neighborhoods of the codimension-two singular strata. In Section 7 we introduce our obstruction $\hat{A}_{S^1}$ to invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature on spin S^1-manifolds with actions of even type. Then in Section 8 we complete the proof of our existence results for metrics of positive scalar curvature on S^1-manifolds. Moreover, we give a new proof of the above mentioned result of Atiyah and Hirzebruch using our results.

In the last Section 9 we give a proof of the rigidity of elliptic genera based on our Theorem 1.1.

I would like to thank Bernhard Hanke and Anand Dessai for helpful discussions on the subject of this paper.

2. NON-SEMI-FREE ACTIONS ON NON-SPIN MANIFOLDS

In this section we prove a version of Theorem 1.1 for the S^1-equivariant oriented bordism groups of manifolds which satisfy Condition C. At first we recall Condition C.

Definition 2.1. Let T be a torus and M a compact T-manifold. We say that M satisfies Condition C if for each closed subgroup $H \subset T$, the T-equivariant normal bundle of the closed submanifold $M^H \subset M$ is equipped with the structure of a complex T-vector bundle such that the following compatibility condition holds: If $K \subset H \subset T$ are two closed subgroups, then the restriction of $N(M^K, M)$ to M^H is a direct summand of $N(M^H, M)$ as a complex T-vector bundle.

Before we state and prove our main result of this section, we introduce some notations from [20].

Let M be a S^1-manifold satisfying Condition C and $x \in M$. Then the isotropy group S^1_x of x acts linearly on the tangent space of M at x. There is an isomorphism of S^1_x-representations $T_xM \cong \bar{V}_x \oplus V_x$, where $\bar{V}_x$ is a trivial S^1_x-representation and V_x is a unitary S^1_x-representation without trivial summands. The slice type of $x \in M$ is defined to be the pair $[S^1_x; V_x]$.

A S^1-slice-type is a pair $[H; W]$, where H is a closed subgroup of S^1 and W is a unitary H-representation without trivial summands. We call a slice-type $[H; W]$ effective (semi-free, resp.) if the S^1-action on $S^1 \times_H W$ is effective (semi-free, resp.). By a family $\mathcal{F}$ of slice-types we mean a collection of S^1-slice types such that if $[H; W] \in \mathcal{F}$ then for every $x \in S^1 \times_H W$, $[S^1_x, V_x] \in \mathcal{F}$.

A S^1-manifold M satisfying Condition C is of type $\mathcal{F}$, if for every $x \in M$, $[S^1_x, V_x] \in \mathcal{F}$. We denote by $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}]$ the bordism groups of all oriented S^1-manifolds satisfying Condition C of type $\mathcal{F}$. We set $\Omega_*^{C,S^1} = \Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\text{All}]$, where All denotes the family of all slice-types. We denote by $\mathcal{AE}$ the family of all effective slice-types.

Let $\rho = [H; W]$ be a S^1-slice type. A complex S^1-vector bundle E over a manifold N is called of type ρ if the set of points in E having slice type ρ is precisely the zero section of E. Bordism of bundles of type ρ leads to a bundle bordism group $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\rho]$.

If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}' \cup \{\rho\}$ is a family of slice-types such that $\mathcal{F}'$ is a family of slice types, then $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\rho]$ is isomorphic to the relative equivariant bordism group $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}']$, which consists of those S^1-manifolds with boundary of type $\mathcal{F}$ whose boundary is of type $\mathcal{F}'$. Moreover, there is a long exact sequence of Ω_*^{SO}-modules

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}'] \longrightarrow \Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}] \xrightarrow{\nu} \Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\rho] \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_{n-1}^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}'] \longrightarrow \dots .$$

Here ν is the map which sends a S^1-manifold satisfying Condition C of type $\mathcal{F}$ to the normal bundle of the submanifold of points of type ρ. Moreover, ∂ assigns to a bundle of type ρ its sphere bundle.

This sequence provides an inductive method of calculating the bordism groups of S^1-manifolds satisfying Condition C of type $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{AE}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{AE} - \{[S^1; W]; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 1\}$ be the family of all effective S^1-slice types with or without, respectively, the slice types of the form $[S^1; W]$ with W an unitary S^1-representation of dimension one. Then $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is generated by semi-free S^1-manifolds and generalized Bott manifolds M with a restricted S^1-action which does not have fixed point components of codimension two.*

Before we prove this theorem we describe the torus action on the generalized Bott manifolds in more detail.

A generalized Bott manifold M is a manifold of the following type: There exists a sequence of fibrations

$$M = N_l \to N_{l-1} \to \dots N_1 \to N_0 = \{pt\},$$

such that N_0 is a point and each N_i is the projectivization a Whitney sum of $n_i + 1$ complex line bundles over N_{i-1}.

The torus action on these manifolds can be constructed inductively as follows. At first note that each N_{i-1} is simply connected. Therefore, if N_{i-1} has an effective action of an $(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j)$-dimensional torus T, then the natural map $H^2_T(N_{i-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(N_{i-1}; \mathbb{Z})$ from equivariant to ordinary cohomology is surjective. Hence, by [15], the T-action lifts to an action on each of the $n_i + 1$ line bundles from which N_i is constructed. Together with the action of an $(n_i + 1)$-dimensional torus given by componentwise multiplication on each of these line bundles, this action induces an effective action of an $(\sum_{j=1}^{i} n_j)$-dimensional torus T' on N_i. Note that, by [15], the T'-action constructed in this way is unique up to automorphisms of T', i.e. does not depend on the actual choice of the lifts of the T-actions. So each N_i becomes a so-called torus manifold with this torus action, i.e. the dimension of the acting torus is half of the dimension of the manifold and there are fixed points.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. At first we define a sequence of families $\mathcal{F}_i$ of S^1-slice types such that

(1) $\mathcal{F}_{i+1} = \mathcal{F}_i \cup \{\sigma_{i+1}\}$ for a slice type σ_{i+1},

(2) $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$,
(3) $\mathcal{F}_0$ consists of semi-free S^1-slice types.

When this is done it is sufficient to prove that each $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_i]$ is generated by semi-free S^1-manifolds, generalized Bott manifolds and manifolds which bound in $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}]$.

Before we do that we introduce some notations for the S^1-slice types. The irreducible non-trivial S^1-representations are denoted by

$$\dots, V_{-1}, V_1, V_2, \dots,$$

where V_i is $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the S^1-action given by multiplication with s^i for $s \in S^1$. For $\mathbb{Z}_m \subset S^1$ we denote the irreducible non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_m$-representations by $V_{-1}, \dots, V_{-m+1}$. Here V_i is $\mathbb{C}$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ acting by multiplication with s^i.

The effective S^1-slice types are then of the forms

$$[S^1; V_{k(1)} \oplus V_{k(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{k(n)}]$$

with $k(1) \geq k(2) \geq \dots \geq k(n)$, $n \geq 1$ and $\gcd\{k(1), \dots, k(n)\} = 1$ or

$$[\mathbb{Z}_m; V_{k(1)} \oplus V_{k(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{k(n)}]$$

with $0 > k(1) \geq k(2) \geq \dots \geq k(n) > -m$, $n \geq 1$ if $m > 1$, and $\gcd\{k(1), \dots, k(n), m\} = 1$. Such a slice type is semi-free if it is of the form $[S^1; V_{k(1)} \oplus V_{k(2)} \dots \oplus V_{k(n)}]$ with $|k(i)| = 1$ for all i or $[\mathbb{Z}_1; 0]$.

The non-semi-free effective slice types fall into three different classes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F} &= \{[H; W];\ H \text{ is finite}\}\\
\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F} &= \{[S^1; W];\ W = V_{k(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{k(n)} \oplus V_{-m} \text{ with}\\
&\quad 0 > k(1) \geq k(2) \geq \dots \geq k(n) > -m\}\\
\mathfrak{T} &= \{\text{all other non-semi-free effective slice types}\}
\end{aligned}$$

For $\rho = [\mathbb{Z}_m; V_{k(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{k(n)}] \in \mathfrak{F}$ we define $e(\rho) = [S^1; V_{k(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{k(n)} \oplus V_{-m}] \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F}$.

We define an ordering of the non-semi-free slice types as follows similarly to the ordering in [20, Section 6]: Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta[S^1; V_{k(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{k(n)}] &= \max\{|k(1)|, \dots, |k(n)|\}\\
d[S^1; V_{k(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{k(n)}] &= n,
\end{aligned}$$

we order $\mathfrak{T} \cup \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F}$ at first by $\delta + d$, then by d and then lexicographically. This together with the following conditions gives an ordering on $\mathfrak{T} \cup \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F} \cup \mathfrak{F}$. If $\rho \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\rho' \notin \mathfrak{F}$ then define $\rho < \rho'$ if $e(\rho) \leq \rho'$. If $\rho \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\rho' \in \mathfrak{F}$ then let $\rho \leq \rho'$ if $e(\rho) \leq e(\rho')$.

Denote the elements of $\mathfrak{T} \cup \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F} \cup \mathfrak{F}$ by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ so that $\sigma_i < \sigma_j$ if $i < j$. Then one can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_0 &= \{\text{semi-free slice-types}\} - \{[S^1; V_i];\ |i| = 1\},\\
\mathcal{F}_i &= \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_i\} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1
\end{aligned}$$

are families of slice types. The difference between these families of slice types and the families of slice types $\mathcal{ST}_i$ appearing in [20] is that $\mathcal{F}_i = (\mathcal{ST}_{j(i)} \cap \mathcal{F}) \cup \mathcal{F}_0$ with $j(i) < j(i')$ if $i < i'$.

Now we prove by induction on i that $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_i]$ is generated by semi-free S^1-manifolds, generalized Bott manifolds and manifolds which bound in $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{i+1}]$.

We may assume that $i \geq 1$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\dots \arrow[r] & \Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \arrow[r] & \Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_i] \arrow[r, "\nu_i"] & \Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\sigma_i] \arrow[r, "\partial_i"] & \Omega_{n-1}^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \arrow[r] & .
\end{tikzcd}$$

At first assume that $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then one can define a section q_i to ν_i as in [20, Section 6]. For $E \in \Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\sigma_i]$, $q_i(E)$ is represented by a sphere bundle associated to a unitary S^1-vector bundle of rank at least two over a manifold with trivial S^1-action.

Hence $\Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_i]$ is generated by manifolds of type $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ and the $q_i(E)$, $E \in \Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\sigma_i]$. Moreover, the disc bundle associated to E is bounded by $q_i(E)$ and is of type $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$. Therefore the claim follows in this case from the induction hypothesis.

Next assume that $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{F}$. Then as in [20, Section 5], one sees that ∂_i induces an isomorphism $\Omega_n^{C,S^1}[\sigma_i] \to \operatorname{im} q_{i-1}$. Therefore we have an isomorphism $\Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{i-2}] \to \Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_i]$. Hence the claim follows in this case from the induction hypothesis.

At the end assume that $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{T}$. Then one defines a section q_i to ν_i as in [20, Section 7]. For $E \in \Omega_*^{C,S^1}[\sigma_i]$, $q_i(E)$ is represented by a projectivization $P(\tilde{E})$ of a unitary S^1-vector bundle $\tilde{E}$ of rank at least two over a manifold with trivial S^1-action or a manifold M_2 of the following form. First let M_1 be the projectivization of a unitary S^1-vector bundle $\tilde{E}_1$ over a manifold with trivial S^1-action. M_2 is then the projectivization of a unitary S^1-vector bundle E_2 of rank at least two over M_1.

Moreover, one sees from the definition of q_i in [20, Section 7] and the fact that σ_i is not semi-free that $q_i(E)$ does not have fixed point components of codimension two. Since $\Omega_*^{SO}(\prod_i BU(j_i))$ is generated as a module over Ω_*^{SO} by sums of line bundles over complex projective spaces, we may assume that the $q_i(E)$ are generalized Bott manifolds. Hence the claim follows in this case from the induction hypothesis. □

3. The spin case

In this section we prove the following theorem about the S^1-equivariant Spin-bordism groups of those manifolds which satisfy Condition C.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{AE}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{AE} - \{[S^1; W]; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 1\}$ be the family of all effective S^1-slice types with or without, respectively, the slice types of the form $[S^1; W]$ with W an unitary S^1-representation of dimension one. Then $\Omega_*^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}]$ is generated by semi-free S^1-manifolds and generalized Bott manifolds with restricted S^1-action.*

For the proof of this theorem we will use the same families of slice types and the following exact sequences analogues to the ones used in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & \Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \arrow[r] & \Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_i] \arrow[d] & & \\
& & \Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[\sigma_i] \arrow[r] & \Omega_{n-1}^{C,Spin,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Before we prove Theorem 3.1, we describe the groups $\Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[\sigma_i]$. These groups have been computed by Saihi [28]. She showed that

$$\Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[H,V] \cong \Omega_{n-1-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}(B\Gamma_u \amalg B\Gamma_v, f),$$

where H is a finite group, Γ_u, Γ_v are connected two-fold covering groups of $\Gamma = SO \times S^1 \times_H \prod_i^k U(n_i)$ and $f = f_u \amalg f_v$ where $f_u : B\Gamma_u \to BSO, f_v : B\Gamma_v \to BSO$ are the fibrations induced by the projection $\Gamma \to SO$.

Lemma 3.2. *The two components of $B\Gamma_u \amalg B\Gamma_v$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the two spin-structures $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ on $S^1 \times_H V$, in such a way that for $E \in \Omega_*(B\Gamma_u \amalg B\Gamma_v, f_u \amalg f_v)$ we have $E \in \Omega_*(B\Gamma_u, f_u)$ (, $E \in \Omega_*(B\Gamma_v, f_v)$, respectively) if and only* *if the restriction of the spin structure on* E *to an invariant tubular neighborhood of an orbit of type* $[H,V]$ *in* E *coincides with the spin structure* $\mathcal{U}$ *(,* $\mathcal{V}$*, respectively).*

Proof. The spin structures on $S^1\times_H V\times\mathbb{R}^k$ are in one to one-to-one correspondence to the spin structures on $S^1\times_H V$. Every spin structure on $S^1\times_H V$ induces a homotopy class of lifts of the map $pt\to B\Gamma$ to $B\Gamma_u\amalg B\Gamma_v$. Moreover, every such lift induces a spin structure on $S^1\times_H V$. Since the fiber of $B\Gamma_u\amalg B\Gamma_v\to B\Gamma$ has two components, there are exactly two homotopy classes of lifts. These lifts induce different spin structures on $S^1\times_H V$ because they represent different elements in $\Omega_*(B\Gamma_u\amalg B\Gamma_v, f_u\amalg f_v)$. Since there are exactly two spin structures on $S^1\times_H V$ the claim follows. □

After inverting two, we get the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[H,V][\frac{1}{2}] &\cong \Omega_{n-1-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}[\frac{1}{2}](B\Gamma\amalg B\Gamma, f)\\ &\cong \Omega^{Spin}_{n-1-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}[\frac{1}{2}](B(S^1\times_H\prod_i^k U(n_i))\amalg B(S^1\times_H\prod_i^k U(n_i)))\\ &\cong \Omega^{Spin}_{n-1-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}[\frac{1}{2}](B(S^1/H\times\prod_i^k U(n_i))\amalg B(S^1/H\times\prod_i^k U(n_i))).\end{aligned}$$

Here the first isomorphism is induced by the two-fold coverings $\Gamma_u,\Gamma_v\to\Gamma$. Moreover, the third isomorphism is induced by the homomorphism

$$\begin{gathered}S^1\times_H\prod_{i=1}^k U(n_i)\to S^1/H\times\prod_{i=1}^k U(n_i),\\ [z,u_1,\dots,u_k]\mapsto([z],z^{\alpha_1}u_1,\dots,z^{\alpha_k}u_k).\end{gathered}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[S^1,V][\frac{1}{2}] &\cong \tilde{\Omega}_n^{Spin}[\frac{1}{2}](MU(n_1)\wedge\dots\wedge MU(n_k))\\ &\cong \tilde{\Omega}_n^{SO}[\frac{1}{2}](MU(n_1)\wedge\dots\wedge MU(n_k))\\ &\cong \Omega^{SO}_{n-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}[\frac{1}{2}](BU(n_1)\times\dots\times BU(n_k))\\ &\cong \Omega^{Spin}_{n-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}[\frac{1}{2}](BU(n_1)\times\dots\times BU(n_k)).\end{aligned}$$

Here the first isomorphism has been shown by Saihi [28], the second and fourth isomorphism are deduced from the fact that after inverting two Ω_*^{SO} and Ω_*^{Spin} become isomorphic.

Moreover, the third isomorphism is constructed as follows: Make a map $\phi: M\to MU(n_1)\wedge\dots\wedge MU(n_k)$ transversal to the zero section of the classifying bundle over $BU(n_1)\times\dots\times BU(n_k)$. Then restrict ϕ to the preimage of the zero section. This gives an element of $\Omega^{SO}_{*-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}(BU(n_1)\times\dots\times BU(n_k))$.

We will need a basis of the $\Omega_*^{Spin}[\frac{1}{2}]$-module $\Omega_*^{Spin}[\frac{1}{2}](BU(n_1)\times\dots\times BU(n_k))$. For odd $i>0$ denote by X_i the tensor product of two copies of the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^i$. For even $i>0$ denote by X_i the tensor product of two copies of the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P(\gamma\otimes\gamma\oplus\mathbb{C})$. Here γ denotes the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{i-1}$. Moreover for $i=0$ denote by X_0 the trivial line bundle over a point. Then the

$$\prod_{i=1}^k\prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi}}\quad\text{with } 0\leq j_{1i}\leq\dots\leq j_{n_k i}\tag{3.1}$$

form a basis of $\Omega_*^{Spin}[\frac{1}{2}](BU(n_1)\times\cdots\times BU(n_k))$ as a $\Omega_*^{Spin}[\frac{1}{2}]$-module.

This can be seen as follows: First of all the X_i, $i\geq 0$, form a basis of $\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1)$ as a module over $\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}]$ because the characteristic numbers $\langle c_1^i(X_i),[B_i]\rangle$ are powers of two [7, Theorem 18.1]. Moreover, for a torus $T=(S^1)^k$, $\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BT)$ is isomorphic to $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1)$. Now consider the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequences for

$$\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BT)$$

and

$$\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BU(n_1)\times\cdots\times BU(n_k)),$$

where T is a maximal torus of $U(n_1)\times\cdots\times U(n_k)$. They degenerate at the E^2-level. Hence, it follows that the products in (3.1) form a basis of $\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BU(n_1)\times\cdots\times BU(n_k))$ from a comparison of the singular homologies of the two spaces (for details see [18, Section 4.3]).

For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we will also need the following construction of twisted projective space bundles $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$.

Construction 3.3. Let $E_i\to B_i$, $i=1,2$, be unitary S^1-vector bundles such that the actions on the base spaces are trivial. Then E_i splits as a sum of unitary S^1-vector bundles

$$E_i=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_i}E_i^{\beta_{ij}}$$

with $\beta_{i1},\dots,\beta_{ik_i}\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that the action of $z\in S^1$ on each $E_i^{\beta_{ij}}$ is given by multiplication with $z^{\beta_{ij}}$. We call $E_i^{\beta_{ij}}$ the weight bundle of the weight β_{ij}.

Moreover, we write

$$E_i^+=\bigoplus_{\beta_{ij}>0}E_i^{\beta_{ij}}$$

and

$$E_i^-=\bigoplus_{\beta_{ij}<0}E_i^{\beta_{ij}}.$$

Then we have $E_i=E_i^+\oplus E_i^-\oplus E_i^0$.

Also let $\beta_{2\max}\in\{\beta_{21},\dots,\beta_{2k_2}\}$ such that $|\beta_{2\max}|=\max_{1\leq j\leq k_2}|\beta_{2j}|$.

In the following we assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}E_2$ is even and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}E_i^0=1$ for $i=1,2$. We also assume that one of the following three cases holds:

1. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}E_1$ is odd.
2. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}E_1$ is even, $\beta_{2\max}=\pm 2$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}E_2^{\beta_{2\max}}=1$, $|\beta_{2j}|=|\beta_{1j'}|=1$ for $\beta_{2j}\neq\beta_{2\max}$ and all $j'\in\{1,\dots,k_1\}$.
3. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}E_1$ is even, case 2 does not hold and $E_2^{\beta_{2\max}}$ splits off an S^1-invariant line bundle F.

In each of these cases we define a free T^2-action on the product of sphere bundles $S(E_1)\times S(E_2)$.

In case 1 we define this action as follows

$$(s,t)\cdot((e_1^+,e_1^-,e_1^0),(e_2^+,e_2^-,e_2^0))=((te_1^+,t^{-1}e_1^-,te_1^0),(tse_2^+,t^{-1}s^{-1}e_2^-,se_2^0)),$$

where $(s,t)\in S^1\times S^1=T^2$, $e_i^\pm\in E_i^\pm$ and $e_i^0\in E_i^0$.

If, in case 2, $\beta_{2\max}<0$, we define this action by

$$(s,t)\cdot((e_1^+,e_1^-,e_1^0),(e_2^+,e_2^-,e_2^0,f))=((te_1^+,t^{-1}e_1^-,te_1^0),(tse_2^+,t^{-1}s^{-1}e_2^-,se_2^0,s^{-1}f)),$$

where $(s,t)\in S^1\times S^1=T^2$, $e_1^\pm\in E_1^\pm$, $e_2^+\in E_2^+$, $e_2^-\in E_2^-\ominus E_2^{\beta_{2\max}}$, $e_i^0\in E_i^0$ and $f\in E_2^{\beta_{2\max}}$.

If, in case 2, $\beta_{2\max} > 0$, we define this action by

$$(s,t)\cdot((e_1^+,e_1^-,e_1^0),(e_2^+,e_2^-,e_2^0,f)) = ((te_1^+,t^{-1}e_1^-,te_1^0),(tse_2^+,t^{-1}s^{-1}e_2^-,se_2^0,sf)),$$

where $(s,t)\in S^1\times S^1 = T^2$, $e_1^\pm\in E_1^\pm$, $e_2^+\in E_2^+\ominus E_2^{\beta_{2\max}}$, $e_2^-\in E_2^-$, $e_i^0\in E_i^0$ and $f\in E_2^{\beta_{2\max}}$.

If, in case 3, $\beta_{2\max} < 0$, we define this action by

$$(s,t)\cdot((e_1^+,e_1^-,e_1^0),(e_2^+,e_2^-,e_2^0,f)) = ((te_1^+,t^{-1}e_1^-,te_1^0),(tse_2^+,t^{-1}s^{-1}e_2^-,se_2^0,s^{-1}t^{-2}f)),$$

where $(s,t)\in S^1\times S^1 = T^2$, $e_1^\pm\in E_1^\pm$, $e_2^+\in E_2^+$, $e_2^-\in E_2^-\ominus F$, $e_i^0\in E_i^0$ and $f\in F$.

If, in case 3, $\beta_{2\max} > 0$, we define this action by

$$(s,t)\cdot((e_1^+,e_1^-,e_1^0),(e_2^+,e_2^-,e_2^0,f)) = ((te_1^+,t^{-1}e_1^-,te_1^0),(tse_2^+,t^{-1}s^{-1}e_2^-,se_2^0,st^2f)),$$

where $(s,t)\in S^1\times S^1 = T^2$, $e_1^\pm\in E_1^\pm$, $e_2^+\in E_2^+\ominus F$, $e_2^-\in E_2^-$, $e_i^0\in E_i^0$ and $f\in F$.

We denote the orbit space of these actions by $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$. It is diffeomorphic to a $\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}$-bundle over a manifold M, where M is a $\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}$-bundle over $B_1\times B_2$. Here we have $n_i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_i - 1$.

One can compute the cohomology of the total space of the $\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}$-bundle $\xi : M\to B_1\times B_2$ by using the Leray–Hirsch-Theorem as

$$H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}_2)\cong H^*(B_1\times B_2;\mathbb{Z}_2)[u]/(f(u)),$$

where u is the mod 2-reduction of the first Chern-class of the tautological line bundle over M and $f(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $2(n_1+1)$.

Moreover, its tangent bundle splits as a direct sum

$$\xi^*T(B_1\times B_2)\oplus\eta,$$

where η is the tangent bundle along the fibers of ξ.

Note that η is isomorphic to $\gamma\otimes(E_1^+\oplus E_1^0)\oplus\bar\gamma\otimes E_1^-$, where γ denotes the tautological line bundle over M.

From this fact it follows that the second Stiefel–Whitney class of M is given by

$$w_2(M) = w_2(B_1\times B_2) + (n_1+1)u + w_2(E_1).$$

The same reasoning with M replaced by $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$ and $B_1\times B_2$ replaced by M shows that the second Stiefel–Whitney class of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$ is given by

$$w_2(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)) = w_2(B_1\times B_2) + w_2(E_1) + w_2(E_2).$$

Therefore it follows that $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$ is a spin manifold if B_1, B_2 are spin-manifolds and E_1, E_2 are spin-vector bundles.

The S^1-actions on E_1 and E_2 induce an S^1-action on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$. The submanifolds

$$N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}} = (S(E_1^{\beta_{1j}})\times S(E_2^{\beta_{2j'}}))/T^2\subset\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)^{S^1}$$

are fixed by this S^1-action on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$.

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we want that the manifolds $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)$ are of type $\mathcal{F}_i$ for certain families $\mathcal{F}_i$ of slice types. For this it is important to know the weights of the S^1-representations on the normal bundles of $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$. In particular it is important how they differ from the weights at $N_{0,0}$. The weights of the S^1-action on the normal bundle of $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$ can be computed as listed in tables 1, 2, 3.

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we will always have

$$\max_{1\le j\le k_1}|\beta_{1j}| < \max_{1\le j\le k_2}|\beta_{2j}| = |\beta_{2\max}|.$$

Assume that this holds and that $(\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'})\neq(0,0),(0,\pm\beta_{2\max})$, then we have in cases 1 and 3:

$(\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'})$	weights at $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$
$(0,0)$	$\beta_{11},\dots,\beta_{1k},\beta_{21},\dots,\beta_{2k'}$
$(0,\gamma)$ with $\gamma\neq 0$	$-\lvert\gamma\rvert$, $\beta_{11},\dots,\beta_{1k}$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert-\lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i=1,\dots k'$ and $\beta_{2i}\neq 0$
$(\gamma,0)$ with $\gamma\neq 0$	$-\lvert\gamma\rvert$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{1i}(\lvert\beta_{1i}\rvert-\lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i=1,\dots k$ and $\beta_{1i}\neq 0$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert-\lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i=1,\dots k'$ and $\beta_{2i}\neq 0$
(γ_1,γ_2) with $\gamma_i\neq 0$	$-\lvert\gamma_1\rvert$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{1i}(\lvert\beta_{1i}\rvert-\lvert\gamma_1\rvert)$ for $i=1,\dots k$ and $\beta_{1i}\neq 0$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert-\lvert\gamma_2\rvert)$ for $i=1,\dots k'$ and $\beta_{2i}\neq 0$, $\lvert\gamma_1\rvert-\lvert\gamma_2\rvert$

Table 1. The weights of the S^1-action on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}$ in the first case.

$(\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'})$	weights at $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$
$(0,0)$	$\beta_{11},\dots,\beta_{1k},\beta_{21},\dots,\beta_{2k'}$
$(0,\pm 1)$	$\beta_{11},\dots,\beta_{1k},\mp 1$
$(0,\pm 2)$	$\beta_{11},\dots,\beta_{1k},-2,\mp 1$
$(\pm 1,0)$, $(\pm 1,\pm 1)$	$-1,\pm 2$
$(\pm 1,\pm 2)$	$-1,-2$

Table 2. The weights of the S^1-action on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}$ in the second case.

$$\max\{\lvert\text{weights at } N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}\rvert\}<\max\{\lvert\text{weights at } N_{0,0}\rvert\}.$$

In case 2 let $Y_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$ be the component of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;E_2)^{S^1}$ containing $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{codim} Y_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}<\dim E_1+\dim E_2-2=\operatorname{codim} Y_{0,0}$$

if $(\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'})\neq(0,0),(0,\pm 2),(\pm 1,\pm 2)$ or $(\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'})\neq(0,0),(0,\pm 2)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_1\neq 2$.

If we have $(\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'})=(\pm 1,\pm 2)$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_1=2$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_2\geq 4$, then the weight -2 appears in the weights at $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$ with multiplicity greater than one. Therefore the S^1-representation at $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$ does not coincide with the S^1-representation at $N_{0,0}$.

Note that in all three cases we have that the weights of the S^1-representation at $N_{0,\beta_{2\max}}$ are given by

$$\{-\lvert\beta_{2\max}\rvert,\beta_{11},\dots,\beta_{1k},\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert-\lvert\beta_{2\max}\rvert);\ i=1,\dots,k' \text{ and } \beta_{2i}\neq 0\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Now as in the non-spin case we construct sections to the maps

$$\nu_i:\Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_i][\frac{1}{2}]\rightarrow\operatorname{im}\nu_i\subset\Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[\sigma_i][\frac{1}{2}].$$

At first assume that $\sigma_i=[H,V]$ with H finite and $V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_{\alpha_i}$ with $0>\alpha_1\geq\dots\geq\alpha_k>\alpha_{k+1}=-m=-\operatorname{ord}H$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, the two copies of $B\Gamma$ in $\Omega_n^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_i]$ correspond to the two spin structures on

$$S^1\times_H V.$$

$(\beta_{1j}, \beta_{2j'})$	weights at $N_{\beta_{1j},\beta_{2j'}}$
$(0,0)$	$\beta_{11}, \dots, \beta_{1k}, \beta_{21}, \dots, \beta_{2k'}$
$(0,\gamma)$ with $\gamma \neq 0$	$-\lvert\gamma\rvert$, $\beta_{11}, \dots, \beta_{1k}$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert - \lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i = 1, \dots k'$ and $\beta_{2i} \neq 0$
$(\gamma,0)$ with $\gamma \neq 0$	$-\lvert\gamma\rvert$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{1i}(\lvert\beta_{1i}\rvert - \lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i = 1, \dots k$ and $\beta_{1i} \neq 0$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert - \lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i = 1, \dots k'$ and $\beta_{2i} \neq 0, \alpha$, $\operatorname{sign}\alpha(\lvert\alpha\rvert - 2\lvert\gamma\rvert)$
(γ_1,γ_2) with $\gamma_i \neq 0$, $\gamma_2 \neq \alpha$	$-\lvert\gamma_1\rvert$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{1i}(\lvert\beta_{1i}\rvert - \lvert\gamma_1\rvert)$ for $i = 1, \dots k$ and $\beta_{1i} \neq 0$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert - \lvert\gamma_2\rvert)$ for $i = 1, \dots k'$ and $\beta_{2i} \neq 0, \alpha$, $\lvert\gamma_1\rvert - \lvert\gamma_2\rvert$, $\operatorname{sign}\alpha(\lvert\alpha\rvert - \lvert\gamma_1\rvert - \lvert\gamma_2\rvert)$
(γ,α) with $\gamma \neq 0$	$-\lvert\gamma\rvert$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{1i}(\lvert\beta_{1i}\rvert - \lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i = 1, \dots k$ and $\beta_{1i} \neq 0$, $\operatorname{sign}\beta_{2i}(\lvert\beta_{2i}\rvert - \lvert\alpha\rvert + \lvert\gamma\rvert)$ for $i = 1, \dots k'$ and $\beta_{2i} \neq 0, \alpha$, $2\lvert\gamma\rvert - \lvert\alpha\rvert$

TABLE 3. The weights of the S^1-action on $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}$ in the third case.

There are equivariant diffeomorphisms

$$\begin{gathered} S^1/H \times \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i} \to S^1 \times_H \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i} \\ ([z], v_1, \dots, v_k) \mapsto [z, z^{-\alpha_1} v_1, \dots, z^{-\alpha_k} v_k] \end{gathered}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered} S^1/H \times (V_{\alpha_1+m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k} V_{\alpha_i}) \to S^1 \times_H \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i} \\ ([z], v_1, \dots, v_k) \mapsto [z, z^{-\alpha_1-m} v_1, \dots, z^{-\alpha_k} v_k], \end{gathered}$$

where the action on the left hand spaces is given by the product action and on the right hand spaces the action is induced by left multiplication on the first factor.

Moreover, we can (non-equivariantly) identify

$$S^1/H \times \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i} \cong S^1 \times \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i}$$

and

$$S^1/H \times (V_{\alpha_i+m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k} V_{\alpha_i}) \cong S^1 \times (V_{\alpha_i+m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k} V_{\alpha_i}).$$

Composing these diffeomorphisms leads to the map

$$\begin{gathered} S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^k \cong S^1 \times \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i} \to S^1 \times (V_{\alpha_i+m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k} V_{\alpha_i}) \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^k \\ (z, v_1, \dots, v_k) \mapsto (z, z v_1, \dots, v_k). \end{gathered}$$

This map interchanges the two spin structures on $S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^k$. Therefore one of the two spin structures on $S^1 \times_H V$ equivariantly bounds $D^2 \times (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i})$. The other equivariantly bounds $D^2 \times (V_{\alpha_i+m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k} V_{\alpha_i})$.

Let $E = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi}} \in \Omega_*^{Spin}[\frac{1}{2}](B\Gamma_{\text{first copy}})$. Then the sphere bundle associated to $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}$ gives the desired preimage. Here $X_{j,\alpha}$ denotes the bundle X_j equipped with the action of S^1 induced by multiplication with z^α for $z \in S^1$.

This can be seen as follows: The normal bundle of $S(E)^H$ in $S(E)$ bounds the normal bundle of $D(E)^H$ in $D(E)$. Therefore a tubular neighborhood of an orbit of type $[H, V]$ in $S(E)$, equipped with its natural spin structure, equivariantly bounds $D^2 \times V \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Hence, this spin structure is the one which corresponds to $B\Gamma_{\text{first copy}}$. In particular, $S(E)$ is the desired preimage of E.

Next assume that $E = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi}} \in \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](B\Gamma_{\text{second copy}})$, then, by an argument similar to the one from above, the sphere bundle associated to

$$(X_{j_{n_1 1},\alpha_1} \otimes \bar{X}_{j_{1(k+1)},m} \oplus X_{j_{1k+1},-m}) \times \prod_{h=1}^{n_1-1} X_{j_{h1},\alpha_1} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}$$

gives the desired preimage. Here $\bar{X}_{j_{1(k+1)}}$ is the dual vector bundle of $X_{j_{1(k+1)}}$. Moreover, $X_{j_{n_1 1}} \otimes \bar{X}_{j_{1(k+1)}} \oplus X_{j_{1k+1}}$ is the vector bundle over the base space $B_1 \times B_2$ of $X_{j_{n_1 1}} \times X_{j_{1k+1}}$ given by the Whitney sum of $pr_1^*(X_{j_{n_1 1}}) \otimes pr_2^*(\bar{X}_{j_{1(k+1)}})$ and $pr_2^*(X_{j_{1k+1}})$.

Next assume that $\sigma_i = [S^1, V]$ where $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_{\alpha_i}^{n_i}$ with $\alpha_i > \alpha_{i+1}$. For this case we will use Construction 3.3 of twisted projective space bundles $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1;\ E_2)$.

Case 1: At first also assume, that all weights of V are negative and the minimal weight appears with multiplicity one. Then one can see that the map $\Omega_*^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_i] \to \Omega_*^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$ has the same image as the first section constructed above for slice types with finite isotropy groups. Hence it is injective.

Case 2: Next assume that all weights except α_1 of V are negative, the minimal weight and α_1 appear with multiplicity one and $0 > \alpha_1 - m \geq \alpha_i$ for all $i > 1$, where $-m = \alpha_k$. Then one can see that the map $\Omega_*^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_i] \to \Omega_*^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$ has the same image as the second section constructed above for slice types with finite isotropy groups.

Then

$$(X_{j_{11},\alpha_1 - m} \otimes \bar{X}_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \oplus X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k}) \times \prod_{i>1} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}, \quad 0 \leq j_{1i} \leq j_{2i} \leq \cdots \leq j_{n_i i} \text{ for } i \geq 1$$

is a basis of $\Omega_*^{C,Spin}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_i]$ as an $\Omega_*^{Spin}[\frac{1}{2}]$-module. To see this, note that the map

$$\begin{gathered}
\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BU(1) \times BU(1) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} BU(n_i)) \to \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BU(1) \times BU(1) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} BU(n_i)) \\
X \oplus Y \oplus Z \ \mapsto \ X \otimes \bar{Y} \oplus Y \oplus Z
\end{gathered}$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, the elements given above are the images of the basis (3.1) under this isomorphism.

If $j_{11} \geq j_{n_2 2}$ with $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - m$ or $\alpha_1 - m > \alpha_2$, then the image of

$$X_{j_{11},\alpha_1 - m} \otimes X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \oplus X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \times \prod_{k>i>1} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}$$

under the map $\Omega_*^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_i] \to \Omega_*^{C,Spin,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$ is part of a basis of the image of the second section constructed for normal orbit types with finite isotropy groups. Therefore for these basis elements we do not have to define preimages under ν_i.

Therefore assume that $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - m$ and $j_{11} < j_{n_2 2}$. Then

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C} \times \prod_{h=1}^{n_2-1} X_{j_{h2},\alpha_2} \times \prod_{2<i<k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}; \\ (X_{j_{11},\alpha_1-m} \otimes \bar{X}_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \oplus X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k}) \times X_{j_{2n_2},\alpha_2} \times \mathbb{C})$$

is mapped by ν_i to

$$\prod_{h=1}^{n_2-1} X_{j_{h2},\alpha_2} \times \prod_{2<i<k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i} \times (X_{j_{11},\alpha_1-m} \otimes \bar{X}_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \oplus X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \times X_{j_{2n_2},\alpha_2} \\ - X_{j_{11},\alpha_1-m} \times X_{j_{2n_2},\alpha_2} \otimes \bar{X}_{j_{1k,\alpha_k}} \oplus X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k}).$$

Here the two components of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1; E_2)^{S^1}$ which correspond to the two summands of the above sum are given by $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{C})$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C}; X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k})$. The other fixed point components are of different slice types. Therefore we have found the generators in this case.

Case 3: Next assume that $\sigma_i = [S^1, V]$, such that all weights except α_1 of V are negative, the minimal weight and α_1 appear with multiplicity one and $\alpha_1 < -\alpha_k = m$, $\alpha_1 - m < \alpha_2$. Then

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C} \times \prod_{h=1}^{n_2-1} X_{j_{h2},\alpha_2} \times \prod_{2<i<k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i};\ \ X_{j_{11},\alpha_1} \times X_{j_{n_2 2},\alpha_2} \times X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \times \mathbb{C})$$

is mapped by ν_i to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}.$$

Here the component of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(E_1; E_2)^{S^1}$ which corresponds to this product is given by $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{C})^{S^1}$. The other components of the fixed point set are of different slice types. Therefore we have found the generators in this case.

Case 4: Next assume that $\sigma_i = [S^1, V]$, such that $\alpha_1 > 0$ appears with multiplicity at least two and the minimal weight appears with multiplicity one and is negative and $\alpha_1 < -\alpha_k = m$. Then

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C} \times \prod_{h=3}^{n_1} X_{j_{h1},\alpha_1} \times \prod_{1<i<k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i};\ \ X_{j_{11},\alpha_1} \times X_{j_{21},\alpha_1} \times X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \times \mathbb{C})$$

is mapped by ν_i to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}$$

Therefore we have found the generators in this case.

Case 5: Next assume that $\sigma_i = [S^1, V]$, such that $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > 0$ and the minimal weight appears with multiplicity one and is negative and $\alpha_1 < -\alpha_k = m$. Then

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C} \times \prod_{h=2}^{n_1} X_{j_{h1},\alpha_1} \times \prod_{h=2}^{n_2} X_{j_{h2},\alpha_2} \times \prod_{1<i<k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i};\ \ X_{j_{11},\alpha_1} \times X_{j_{12},\alpha_2} \times X_{j_{1k},\alpha_k} \times \mathbb{C})$$

is mapped by ν_i to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{h=1}^{n_i} X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}$$

Therefore we have found the generators in this case.

Case 6: Next assume that $\alpha_1 = 2$ and $\alpha_2 = \pm 1$ are the only weights. Then

$$M = \mathbb{C}P(X_{j_{11}\alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}P(X_{j_{12},\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C})$$

is a manifold which satisfies Condition C such that the weights at the four fixed point components are $(-2,-1),(-2,1),(2,-1),(2,1)$. If $\alpha_2=-1$, we conjugate the complex structure on the summand $N(M^{S^1},M^{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ of the normal bundle of the last fixed point component. In this way we get the desired generators in this case.

Case 7: In all other cases let $\beta\in\{\alpha_1,\alpha_k\}$ be a weight of maximal norm. Then there is a second weight $\delta\neq\beta,-\beta$. In these cases one of

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C}\times\prod_{h=2}^{n_\delta}X_{j_{h\delta},\delta}\times\prod_{\alpha_i\neq\delta,-\beta,\beta}\prod_{h=1}^{n_i}X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i};\ X_{j_{1\delta},\delta}\times\prod_{h=1}^{n_\beta}X_{j_{h,\beta},\beta}\times\prod_{h=1}^{n_{-\beta}}X_{j_{h,-\beta},-\beta}\times\mathbb{C})$$

or

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(\mathbb{C}\times\prod_{\alpha_i\neq-\beta,\beta}\prod_{h=1}^{n_i}X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i};\ \prod_{h=1}^{n_\beta}X_{j_{h,\beta},\beta}\times\prod_{h=1}^{n_{-\beta}}X_{j_{h,-\beta},-\beta}\times\mathbb{C})$$

is spin and mapped by ν_i to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{h=1}^{n_i}X_{j_{hi},\alpha_i}.$$

Therefore we have found the generators in this case.

Hence we have found generators of the image of ν_i in all cases. This completes the proofs of the theorem. □

4. S^1-MANIFOLDS NOT SATISFYING CONDITION C

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. In view of Theorems 2.2, 3.1 it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For $G=SO$ or $G=Spin$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{AE}$ or $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{AE}-\{[S^1,W];\dim_{\mathbb{C}}W=1\}$, the natural map*

$$\Omega_n^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}]\to\Omega_n^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}]$$

is surjective. Here $\Omega_n^{G,S^1}[\mathcal{F}]$ denotes the bordism group of n-dimensional G-manifolds with effective S^1-action of type $\mathcal{F}$ such that, for all subgroups $H\subset S^1$, M^H is orientable.

To prove this lemma, we use an induction as in Section 3. To do so, we first recall that the real slice types of S^1, which appear in an orientable S^1-manifold, are given by

$$[\mathbb{Z}_m;\prod_{-m<\alpha\leq-\frac{m}{2}}V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}]\qquad\qquad[S^1;\prod_{\alpha<0}V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}]$$

Therefore for each real slice type ρ there is a preferred complex slice type σ such that after forgetting the complex structure we have $\rho=\sigma$. Note that this slice type is the first complex slice type representing ρ with respect to the ordering introduced in Section 2. We call two complex slice types equivalent if they represent the same real slice type.

The ordering of preferred complex slice types induces an ordering of the real slice types. Moreover, this leads to a sequence $\mathcal{G}_i$ of families of slice types such that

$$\mathcal{G}_0=\{\text{semi-free slice types}\}-\{[S^1,V_{-1}]\}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1}=\mathcal{G}_i\amalg\{\rho_i\}\text{ for a slice type }\rho_i$$

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_i=\{\text{effective slice types without codimension two fixed point sets}\}$$

We will show by induction on i that every $[M] \in \Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{G}_i]$ can be represented by an effective S^1-manifold which satisfies Condition C.

We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_{h(i)-1}] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_{h(i)}] \arrow[r, "\nu_i^C"] \arrow[d] & \Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_{h(i)}] \arrow[r, "\partial_{h(i)}^C"] \arrow[d] & \Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_{h(i)-1}] \arrow[d] \\
\Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{G}_{i-1}] \arrow[r] & \Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{G}_i] \arrow[r, "\nu_i"] & \Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\rho_i] \arrow[r, "\partial_i"] & \Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{G}_{i-1}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\sigma_{h(i)}$ denotes the preferred complex slice type representing ρ_i.

A diagram chase shows that we are done with the induction step if we can show that the composition of maps

$$\Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_i] \to \Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{G}_i] \to \ker \partial_i$$

is surjective.

The following isomorphisms can be constructed in a similar way as in the case of the groups $\Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_n^{SO,S^1}[\mathbb{Z}_m, \prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-\frac{m}{2}} V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}][\frac{1}{2}] &\cong \Omega^{SO}_{n-1-2\sum_{\alpha=-m}^{-m/2} j_\alpha}[\frac{1}{2}](B(S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_m} SO(2j_{-m/2})) \\
&\quad \times B(\prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-(m+1)/2} U(j_\alpha)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_n^{Spin,S^1}[\mathbb{Z}_m, \prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-\frac{m}{2}} V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}][\frac{1}{2}] &\cong \Omega^{Spin}_{n-1-2\sum_{\alpha=-m}^{-m/2} j_\alpha}[\frac{1}{2}](B(S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_m} SO(2j_{-m/2})) \\
&\quad \times B(\prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-(m+1)/2} U(j_\alpha))) \text{ if } j_{-m/2} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_n^{Spin,S^1}[\mathbb{Z}_m, \prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}][\frac{1}{2}] &\cong \Omega^{Spin}_{n-1-2\sum_{\alpha=-m}^{-m/2} j_\alpha}[\frac{1}{2}](B(S^1/\mathbb{Z}_m) \times \prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-(m+1)/2} BU(j_\alpha) \\
&\quad \amalg B(S^1/\mathbb{Z}_m) \times \prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-(m+1)/2} BU(j_\alpha)) \\
&\cong \Omega^{Spin}_{n-1-2\sum_{\alpha=-m}^{-m/2} j_\alpha}[\frac{1}{2}](B\Gamma_{\text{first copy}} \amalg B\Gamma_{\text{second copy}}) \\
\Omega_n^{G,S^1}[S^1, \prod_{\alpha<0} V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}][\frac{1}{2}] &\cong \Omega^G_{n-2\sum_\alpha j_\alpha}[\frac{1}{2}](\prod_{\alpha<0} BU(j_\alpha)),
\end{aligned}$$

For the construction of these isomorphisms in the spin case see [28].

In particular, it follows that the maps $\Omega_n^{C,G,S^1}[\sigma_{h(i)}][\frac{1}{2}] \to \Omega_n^{G,S^1}[\rho_i][\frac{1}{2}]$ are surjective.

Indeed, if $\rho_i \neq [\mathbb{Z}_m, \prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-\frac{m}{2}} V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}]$ with $j_{-\frac{m}{2}} > 0$, then these maps are isomorphisms.

In the case that $\rho_i = [\mathbb{Z}_m, \prod_{\alpha=-m}^{-\frac{m}{2}} V_\alpha^{j_\alpha}]$ with $j_{-\frac{m}{2}} > 0$, we consider the map

$$f : BT \to B\tilde{G},$$

where T is a maximal torus of $\tilde{G} = (S^1/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}) \times SO(2j_{\frac{m}{2}}) \times \prod_{\alpha\neq \frac{m}{2}} U(j_\alpha)$. This map induces an surjective map in singular homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ because $\tilde{G}$ does not have odd torsion.

Since $H_*(BT;\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ and $H_*(B\tilde{G};\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ are concentrated in even degrees, the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence for the bordism groups (with two inverted) for these spaces degenerates at the E^2-level. Hence it follows that f induces a surjective map on bordism groups.

Because this map factors through $B\tilde{G}_C$, where $G_C = (S^1/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}) \times U(j_{\frac{m}{2}}) \times \prod_{\alpha\neq \frac{m}{2}} U(j_\alpha)$ and G and G_C are two-fold covering groups of

$$(S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_m} SO(2j_{\frac{m}{2}})) \times \prod_{\alpha\neq\frac{m}{2}} U(j_\alpha) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_m} U(j_{\frac{m}{2}})) \times \prod_{\alpha\neq\frac{m}{2}} U(j_\alpha),$$

respectively, it follows from the above isomorphism that $\Omega_n^{C,G,S^1}[\sigma_{h(i)}][\frac{1}{2}] \to \Omega_n^{G,S^1}[\rho_i][\frac{1}{2}]$ is surjective.

We have a section $q_{h(i)} : \operatorname{im}\nu^C_{h(i)} \to \Omega^{C,G,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{h(i)}][\frac{1}{2}]$. Hence, it suffices to consider those real slice types for which $\nu^C_{h(i)}$ is not surjective. These are of the form

$$[S^1; V_{\alpha_1}^{j_1} \times \cdots \times V_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}} \times V_{-m}]$$

with $0 > \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_k = -m$.

Case 1: At first assume that $\alpha_1 < -\frac{m}{2}$. Then $\Omega^{C,G,S^1}[\sigma_{h(i-1)}][\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\Omega^{G,S^1}[\rho_{i-1}][\frac{1}{2}]$ are isomorphic. Moreover, $\partial^C_{h(i)}$ is a injection into the image of $q_{h(i)-1}$. In the non-spin case we have $\operatorname{im} q_{h(i-1)} = \operatorname{im}\partial^C_{h(i)}$. In the spin case we have $q_{h(i-1)}(B\Gamma_{\text{first copy}}) = \operatorname{im}\partial^C_{h(i)}$. Since $\Omega^{C,G,S^1}[\sigma_{h(i)}][\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\Omega^{G,S^1}[\rho_i][\frac{1}{2}]$ are isomorphic, it follows that ∂_i is also injective. Therefore in this case the maps $\nu^C_{h(i)}$ and ν_i are the zero maps. Therefore in this case the statement follows from the induction hypothesis.

Case 2: Next assume that $\alpha_1 > -\frac{m}{2}$ and $\alpha_{i_0} > -\frac{m}{2} > \alpha_{i_0+1}$ for some i_0. Then $\sigma'_{h(i)}$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\sigma} = [S^1; V^{j_1}_{-\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times V^{j_{i_0}}_{-\alpha_{i_0}} \times V^{j_{i_0+1}}_{\alpha_{i_0+1}} \times \cdots \times V_{-m}]$.

In the non-spin-case the map $\nu^C_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is surjective for this slice type. Moreover, the section $q_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to $\nu^C_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ has the following property: If $X \in \Omega^{SO,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\tilde{\sigma}]$, then there is no point in $q_{\tilde{\sigma}}(X)$ with slice type σ' such that $\sigma_{h(i)} \leq \sigma' < \tilde{\sigma}$. Therefore in the family of slice types $\mathcal{F}_{h(i)}$ we can replace $\sigma_{h(i)}$ by $\tilde{\sigma}$. The map $q_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is then still a section to the map $\nu^C_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ with image in $\Omega_*^{C,SO,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{h(i)}]$.

In the spin case we have to look at three cases:

- $\alpha_2 > -\frac{m}{2}$
- α_1 is the only weight with $\alpha_1 > -\frac{m}{2}$ and appears with multiplicity greater than one.
- α_1 is the only weight with $\alpha_1 > -\frac{m}{2}$ and appears with multiplicity one.

As seen in Cases 4 and 5 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 in the first two cases the map $\nu^C_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is surjective. Moreover, one can check that the section $q_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ has the same property as in the non-spin case. Therefore one can argue as in the non-spin case to get the conclusion in these two cases.

In the last case we can replace $\sigma_{h(i)}$ by $\tilde{\sigma}$ by the same reason as in the first two cases. Therefore we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\tilde{\sigma}] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_{i-1}] \arrow[d] \\
\Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\rho_i] \arrow[r] & \Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\mathcal{G}_{i-1}] \arrow[r] & \Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\rho_{i-1}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps on the left and right are isomorphisms. Moreover, in Case 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 it was shown that the restriction of the upper right map to the image of the upper left map is injective. Therefore it follows that the kernel of the lower left map is contained in the image of the kernel of the upper left map under the isomorphism on the left.

From this it follows by diagram chasing that the map $\Omega_*^{C,G,S^1}[\mathcal{F}_{h(i)}] \to \Omega_*^{G,S^1}[\mathcal{G}_i]$ is surjective.

Case 3: Next assume $\alpha_1 > -\frac{m}{2}$ and $\alpha_{i_0} = -\frac{m}{2}$. Then σ_i is equivalent to $\tilde{\sigma} = [S^1; V_{-\alpha_1}^{j_1} \times V_{-\alpha_{i_0}}^{j_{i_0}} \times \prod_{i\neq 1,i_0} V_{\alpha_i}^{j_i}]$. As shown in Case 5 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, for this slice type $\nu_{\tilde{\sigma}}^C$ is surjective in the spin case. In the non-spin case this map is surjective because $-\alpha_1 > 0$. Moreover, the section $q_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to $\nu_{\tilde{\sigma}}^C$ has the following property: If $X \in \Omega^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\tilde{\sigma}]$, then there is no point in $q_{\tilde{\sigma}}(X)$ with slice type σ' such that $\sigma_{h(i)} \leq \sigma' < \tilde{\sigma}$. Therefore we can argue as in the previous cases to get the conclusion.

Case 4: At last assume that $\alpha_1 = -\frac{m}{2}$. Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_i] \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[ddd, "\nu_{i-1}\circ\partial_i"] & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1/\mathbb{Z}_m \times U(j_1)) \arrow[d] & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}} \times U(j_1)) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[d] \\
 & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_m} U(j_1)) \arrow[d] & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} U(j_1)) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[d] \\
 & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_m} SO(2j_1)) \arrow[d] & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} SO(2j_1)) \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[d] \\
\Omega^{G,S^1}[\frac{1}{2}][\sigma_{i-1}] \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SO(2j_1)) & \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}} \times SO(2j_1)) \arrow[l, "\cong"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here we have omitted the $BU(j_i)$ factors with $i > 1$ in the middle and right hand column.

Moreover, the left hand horizontal maps are the isomorphisms from the previous page. The right hand horizontal maps are induced by two-fold coverings of the respective groups.

The right hand vertical maps are as follows:

The first map maps a pair (X, Y) where X is a line bundle over some manifold M and Y is an j_1-dimensional complex vector bundle over M to $(X, X \otimes Y)$.

The second map is the map which forgets the complex structure on Y. The kernel of this map can be described as follows.

There are natural actions of the Weyl-groups $W(U(j_1))$ and $W(SO(2j_1))$ on $\Omega_*(BT^{j_1})$, where $S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1 = T^{j_1} \subset U(j_1) \subset SO(2j_1)$ is a maximal torus. Note that $W(SO(2j_1))$ can be identified with a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}_2^{j_1-1} \rtimes S_{j_1}$. Moreover $W(U(j_1))$ can be identified with the permutation subgroup S_{j_1}. An element of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{j_1-1}$ acts on $H^2(BT) = H^2(BS^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^2(BS^1)$ by multiplication with -1 on an even number of summands. An element of S_{j_1} acts by permuting the summands. It follows from an inspection of the relevant Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequences that the kernels of the natural surjective maps

$$\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} T^{j_1}) \to \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} U(j_1))$$

and

$$\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} T^{j_1}) \to \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} SO(2j_1))$$

are generated by elements of the form $(X, Z - \gamma Z)$ with $\gamma \in W(U(j_1))$ or $\gamma \in W(SO(2j_1))$, respectively. Therefore the kernel of the map

$$\Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} U(j_1)) \to \Omega_*[\frac{1}{2}](BS^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} SO(2j_1))$$

is generated by elements of the form $(X, Z-\gamma Z)$ with $\gamma \in W(SO(2j_1))/W(U(j_1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{j_1-1}$.

The third map is induced by the isomorphism $S^1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}} SO(2j_1) \to S^1/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}} \times SO(2j_1)$. This isomorphism exists since $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{2}}$ acts trivially on $SO(2j_1)$.

Since the right hand horizontal maps are induced by two-fold coverings, it follows that, if $j_1 > 1$, then the kernel of the composition of the middle vertical maps is generated by bundles of the form

$$(X, Z - (Z_1 \oplus \bar{Z}_2 \otimes \bar{X})),$$

where X and Z are complex vector bundles over the same base manifold with dimension one and j_1 respectively, γ is an element of $W(SO(2j_1))/W(U(j_1))$. Moreover, we have $Z = Z_1 \oplus Z_2$ with $\gamma Z_1 = Z_1$ and $\gamma Z_2 = \bar{Z}_2$ and $\bar{X}$, $\bar{Z}_2$ denote the conjugated bundles of X and Z_2, respectively.

If $j_1 = 1$ then this map is injective. Therefore in the following we will assume that $j_1 > 1$. In this case a bundle as above is the image under ν_i of

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}TP}(Y \oplus Z_1 \oplus \mathbb{C};\ X \oplus Z_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}),$$

where $Z = Z_1 \oplus Z_2$, X is a complex line bundle and Y is a complex vector bundle induced by the projection $BS^1 \times BU(j_1) \times \prod_{i>1} BU(j_i) \to \prod_{i>1} BU(j_i)$. Note that since $W(SO(2j_1))$ acts on $H^2(BT)$ in the way described above, Z_2 is always even-dimensional. Therefore the above manifold is spin if the involved bundles X, Y, Z_1, Z_2 are spin bundles and the base space is a spin manifold. This is the case for our generators of $\Omega_*^{\mathrm{Spin},S^1}[\sigma_i][\frac{1}{2}]$ considered in Section 3. Therefore the lemma is proved.

5. Resolving singularities

Now we turn to the construction of invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature on S^1-manifolds. In this and the next two sections we prepare the proof of Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 which will be carried out in Section 8.

In this section we discuss a general construction for invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature on certain S^1-manifolds. These S^1-manifolds are not semi-free and have S^1-fixed points. The construction is a generalization of a construction from [13], where it was done for fixed point free S^1-manifolds.

For this construction we need the following technical definition.

Definition 5.1 (cf. [13, Definition 21]). Let M be a manifold (possibly with boundary) with an action of a torus T and an T-invariant Riemannian metric g.

(1) Assume $T = S^1$ and $M^{S^1} = \emptyset$. We say that g is *scaled* if the vector field generated by the S^1-action is of constant length.

(2) We call g *normally symmetric in codimension two* if the following holds: Let $H \subset T$ be a closed subgroup and F a component of M^H of codimension two in M. Then there is an T-invariant tubular neighborhood $N_F \subset M$ of F together with an isometric S^1-action σ_F on N_F which commutes with the T-action and has fixed point set F.

The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 23 of [13] to S^1-manifolds with fixed points.

Lemma 5.2. *Let M be a compact S^1-manifold,* $\dim M \geq 3$*, such that* $\operatorname{codim} M^{S^1} \geq 4$*, and V a small tubular neighborhood of M^{S^1}. If M admits an invariant metric g of positive scalar curvature, then there is another metric $\tilde{g}$ of positive scalar curvature on M such that $\tilde{g}$ is scaled on $M - V$. If g is normally symmetric in codimension two on $M - V$, then the same can be assumed for $\tilde{g}$.*

Proof. Let $V' \subset V$ be a slightly smaller tubular neighborhood of M^{S^1} in M. By an equivariant version of Theorem 2' of [10] , there is a metric g_1 of positive scalar curvature on $M - V'$, such that in a collar of $SM^{S^1} = \partial V'$, g_1 is of the form $h_1 + ds^2$, where h_1 is the restriction of a metric of the form $g|_{N(M^{S^1},M)} +_H h$ to the normal sphere bundle of M^{S^1} of sufficiently small radius δ, where h is the metric induced by g on M^{S^1} and H is the normal connection. Here ds^2 denotes the standard metric on $[0,1]$. Moreover, g_1 coincides with g on the complement of V.

We have $N(M^{S^1}, M) = \bigoplus_{i>0} E_i$, where E_i is a complex S^1-bundle such that each $z \in S^1$ acts by multiplication with z^i on E_i. Since g is S^1-invariant, the E_i are orthogonal to each other with respect to $g|_{N(M^{S^1},M)}$. Using O'Neill's formula for the fibration $S_\delta \hookrightarrow SM^{S^1} \to M^{S^1}$, one sees that there is a $\delta' > 0$ such that h_1 is isotopic via S^1-invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature to the restriction h_2 of $\delta'(\sum_{i>0} \frac{1}{i^2} g|_{E_i}) +_H h$ to SM^{S^1}. (The isotopy is given by convex combination of the metrics $\sum_{i>0} \frac{1}{i^2} g|_{E_i} +_H h$ and $g|_{N(M^{S^1},M)} +_H h$ and rescaling the fibers.) Note that h_2 is scaled.

Therefore by Lemma 3 of [12], there is a invariant metric g_2 of positive scalar curvature on $M - V'$ such that g_2 restricted to a collar of $\partial V'$ is of the form $h_2 + ds^2$ and g_2 restricted to $M - V$ is equal to g.

Now let $X : M - V' \to T(M - V')$ be the vector field generated by the S^1-action. Because there are no fixed points in $M - V'$, X is nowhere zero. Denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the one-dimensional subbundle of $T(M - V')$ generated by X and $\mathcal{H}$ its orthogonal complement with respect to g_2. For $p \in M - V'$, define $f(p) = \|X(p)\|_{g_2}$. Note that f is constant in a small neighborhood of $\partial V'$.

Next we describe some local Riemannian submersions which are useful to show that our scaled metrics have positive scalar curvature. Let $S^1 \times_H D(W) \subset M - V'$ be a tube around an orbit in $M - V'$. We pull back the metric g_2 via the covering $S^1 \times D(W) \to S^1 \times_H D(W)$. This yields a metric which is invariant under the free circle action on the first factor. Let g_2' be the induced quotient metric on $D(W)$. Then the argument from the proof of Theorem C of [3], shows that the metric

$$f^{\frac{2}{\dim M - 2}} \cdot g_2'$$

on $D(W)$ has positive scalar curvature.

Now let dt^2 be the metric on $\mathcal{V}$ for which X has constant length one. By O'Neill's formula applied in the above local fibration, the scalar curvature of the metric

$$g_{\epsilon,3} = (\epsilon^2 \cdot dt^2) + (f^{\frac{2}{\dim M - 2}} \cdot g_2|_{\mathcal{H}})$$

on $M - V'$ is given by

$$\operatorname{scal}_{g_{\epsilon,3}} = \operatorname{scal}_{f^{\frac{2}{\dim M-2}} g_2'} - \epsilon^2 \|A\|_{g_{1,3}}, \tag{5.1}$$

where A is the A-tensor for the connection induced by $g_{1,3}$ in the fibration $S^1 \times D(W) \to D(W)$. Since M is compact it follows that there is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon_0 > \epsilon > 0$ the metric $g_{\epsilon,3}$ has positive scalar curvature.

Moreover, since the restriction of g_2 to a collar of $\partial V'$ was a product metric and f is constant in this neighborhood $g_{\epsilon,3}$ is also a product metric on this collar.

Next we show that $g_{\epsilon,3}|_{\partial V}$ and h_2 are isotopic via invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\epsilon,3}|_{\partial V'} &= (\epsilon^2 \cdot dt^2) + (f^{\frac{2}{\dim M-2}} \cdot g_2|_{\mathcal{H}\cap T\partial V'}) \\ h_2 &= f^{-\frac{2}{\dim M-2}}((f^2 f^{\frac{2}{\dim M-2}} \cdot dt^2) + (f^{\frac{2}{\dim M-2}} \cdot g_2|_{\mathcal{H}\cap T\partial V'})), \end{aligned}$$

where $f > 0$ is constant.

In other words, h_2 is equal to the metric $g_{f\cdot f^{\frac{1}{\dim M-2}},3}$ up to scaling. Hence, it follows from formula (5.1) that the metrics h_2 and $g_{\epsilon,3}|_{\partial V}$ are isotopic via invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature because both metrics have positive scalar curvature. One only has to increase or decrease the parameter ϵ and then rescale the metric. Since h_2 is isotopic to h_1 it follows from Lemma 3 of [12], that there is an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature on M whose restriction to $M - V'$ is $g_{\epsilon,3}$.

The remark about the normally symmetric metrics of positive scalar curvature can be seen as follows. Because the local S^1-actions σ_F commute with the global S^1-action, they respect the decomposition $T(M-V) = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$. Therefore the new metric is invariant under these actions. This completes the proof. □

Remark 5.3. Note that every $g_{\epsilon,3}$, $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, can be extended to an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature on M and that the restrictions of all these metrics to $\mathcal{H}$ are the same. This shows that the metric $g_{\epsilon,3}$ can be scaled down on $\mathcal{V}$ without effecting the restriction of the metric to $\mathcal{H}$ and the fact that the $g_{\epsilon,3}$ can be extended to a metric of positive scalar curvature on M.

Next we describe a resolution of singularities for singular strata of codimension two from [13]. Let M be a S^1-manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and

$$\phi : S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times D(W)) \hookrightarrow M$$

be an S^1-equivariant embedding where H is a finite subgroup of S^1 and W is an one-dimensional unitary effective H-representation. Here H acts trivially on S^{n-3}.

Since $S(W)/H$ can be identified with S^1, the S^1-principal bundle

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times S(W)) \to S^{n-3} \times S(W)/H$$

is trivial. Choose a trivialization

$$\chi : S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times S(W)) \to S^1 \times S^{n-3} \times S(W)/H$$

and consider $S(W)/H$ as the boundary of D^2. Then we can glue the free S^1-manifold $S^1 \times S^{n-3} \times D^2$ to $M - \operatorname{im}\phi$ to get a new S^1-manifold M'. We say that M' is obtained from M by resolving the singular stratum $\phi(S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times \{0\}))$.

Now we can state the following generalization of Theorem 25 of [13].

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a closed S^1-manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ such that $\operatorname{codim} M^{S^1} \geq 4$ and $H \subset S^1$ a finite subgroup. Let V be an invariant tubular neighborhood of M^{S^1}. Moreover, let*

$$\phi : S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times D(W)) \hookrightarrow M - V$$

be an S^1-equivariant embedding where W is a unitary effective H-representation of dimension one. Let M' be obtained from M by resolving the singular stratum $\phi(S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times \{0\})) \subset M$. If M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2 outside of V, then also M' admits such a metric.

Since the proof of Theorem 25 of [13] is mainly a local argument in a neighborhood of the singular stratum which is resolved with some down scaling at the end, its proof is also valid in the case where M has fixed points components of codimension at least four, by Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.3. Therefore we have the above theorem.

The next step is the following generalization of Lemma 24 of [13]:

Lemma 5.5. *Let Z be a compact orientable S^1-bordism between S^1-manifolds X and Y such that for all subgroups $H \subset S^1$ all components of codimension two of Z^H are orientable. Assume that X carries an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension* 2*. If Z admits a decomposition into special S^1-handles of codimension at least* 3*, then Y carries an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension* 2*.*

Proof. First recall that a special S^1-handle is an S^1-handle of the form

$$S^1 \times_H (D^d \times D(W)),$$

where H is a subgroup of S^1, W is an orthogonal H-representation, $D(W)$ is the unit disc in W and H acts trivially on the d-dimensional disc D^d. Here the codimension of the handle is given by $\dim W$.

Therefore as in the proof of Lemma 24 in [13] we may assume that Y may be constructed from X by equivariant surgery on $S = S^1 \times_H (S^{d-1} \times D(W))$.

First assume that $d = 0$. Then we have $S = \emptyset$. Hence the surgery on S produces a new component $S^1 \times_H (D^0 \times S(W))$ of Y. Since Z is orientable, there is a homomorphism $\phi : H \to SO(n)$ which corresponds to the H-representation W. As a subgroup of S^1, H has a dense cyclic subgroup. Therefore $\phi(H)$ is contained in a maximal torus of $SO(n)$. Hence W is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^k \oplus \bigoplus_i W_i$, where $\mathbb{R}^k$ denotes the trivial H-representation and the W_i are complex one-dimensional H-representations.

If $K \subset S^1$ is a subgroup such that $S^1 \times_H (D^0 \times S(W))^K \neq \emptyset$, then K is contained in H. If S^K has codimension two in S, then there is exactly one W_{i_0} such that K acts non-trivially on W_{i_0}. Therefore the S^1-action on $S^1 \times_H (D^0 \times S(W))$, which is induced by complex multiplication on W_{i_0} commutes with the original S^1-action and leaves all connection metrics induced from the round metric on $S(W)$ invariant. Since there are such connection metrics with positive scalar curvature on $S^1 \times_H (D^0 \times S(W))$ the theorem follows in this case.

Now assume that $d \geq 1$. Then S is non-empty. The proof proceeds as in Hanke's paper. This is done as follows. As in Hanke's paper we may assume that there is a $K \subset H$ and a component $F \subset X^K$ of codimension 2 with

$$S^1 \times_H (S^{d-1} \times \{0\}) \subset F.$$

Moreover, the extra symmetry σ induces an orthogonal action σ of S^1 on the third factor of $S^1 \times_H (S^{d-1} \times D(W))$. This action extends to an orthogonal action on the third factor of the handle $S^1 \times_H (S^{d-1} \times D(W))$.

Indeed, if $d > 1$, then S^{d-1} is connected and hence the action extends.

If $d = 1$, then $S^{d-1} = \{\pm 1\}$ has two components. And in principle the $H \times S^1$-representations $\sigma_\pm$ on $\{\pm 1\} \times W$ might have different isomorphism types. If this happens the S^1-action on $S^1 \times_H (S^{d-1} \times D(W)$ cannot be extended to an action on the handle $S^1 \times_H (D^d \times D(W))$.

Therefore we have to rule out this case. This is done as follows. By assumption $F' = (F \times I) \cup S^1 \times_H ([-1, +1] \times D(W)^K) \subset Z^K$ is orientable. Therefore the structure group of $N(F', Z)$ is $SO(2) = U(1)$ and the S^1-action σ extends to an action which is defined on a neighborhood of F'.

Since the actions $\sigma_\pm$ are restrictions of this action their isomorphism types coincide. Therefore the actions $\sigma_\pm$ extend to an orthogonal action on $S^1 \times_H ([-1, 1] \times D(W))$ with fixed point set $S^1 \times_H ([-1, 1] \times D(W)^K)$.

Now one can construct an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2 on Y as in the proof of Lemma 24 of [13]. $\square$

Remark 5.6. It follows from an argument of Edmonds [8] that the condition on the codimension-two singular strata of Z in the above lemma is always satisfied if Z is spin (see also section 10 of Bott-Taubes [5]).

Let $p : B \to BO$ be a fibration. A B-structure on a manifold M is a lift $\hat{\nu} : M \to B$ of the classifying map $\nu \to BO$ of the stable normal bundle of M. We denote by Ω^B_{n,S^1} the equivariant bordism group of S^1-manifolds with B-structures on maximal strata, i.e. an element of Ω^B_{n,S^1} is represented by a pair $(M, \hat{\nu})$, where M is an n-dimensional S^1-manifold and $\hat{\nu} : M_{\max} \to B$ is a B-structure. Moreover, such a pair represents zero if there is an $n+1$-dimensional S^1-manifold with boundary W with a B-structure $f : W_{\max} \to B$ on its maximal stratum such that $\partial W = M$ and $f|_{M_{\max}} = \hat{\nu}$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let M be a connected S^1-manifold of dimension $n \geq 6$ with a B-structure $\hat{\nu} : M_{max} \to B$ on its maximal stratum such that $\hat{\nu}$ is a two-equivalence, i.e. $\hat{\nu}$ induces an isomorphism on π_1 and a surjection on π_2. Let W be a connected equivariant B-bordism between M and another S^1-manifold N. Then there is a B-bordism W' between M and N such that $M_{max} \hookrightarrow W'_{max}$ is a two-equivalence and there is a diffeomorphism $V \to V'$, where V and V' are open neighborhoods of $\partial W \cup W_{sing}$ and $\partial W' \cup W'_{sing}$, respectively.*

Proof. We first show that surgery on $W_{\max}$ can be used to construct a B-bordism W_1 such that the inclusion $M_{\max} \hookrightarrow W_{1,\max}$ induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1(S^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"] \arrow[ddd, bend left, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \pi_1(M_{\max}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[ddd, bend left, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \pi_1(M_{\max}/S^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[ddd, bend left, "\mathrm{Id}"] & 0 \\
\pi_1(S^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Id}"] & \pi_1(W_{\max}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_*"] & \pi_1(W_{\max}/S^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, dashed, "\phi"] & 0 \\
 & \pi_1(B) \arrow[d, "\hat{\nu}_*^{-1}"] & & \\
\pi_1(S^1) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(M_{\max}) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(M_{\max}/S^1) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the dashed map ϕ is induced by the commutativity of the diagram and the universal property of the quotient group. It follows from an easy diagram chase that $\ker \phi \cong \ker \hat{\nu}_*^{-1} \circ f_*$.

Let $c : S^1 \to W_{\max}/S^1$ be an embedding that represents an element of $\ker \phi$ and does not meet the boundary. Then there is an equivariant embedding $c' : S^1 \times S^1 \to W_{\max}$, where S^1 acts by rotation on the first factor, such that $c = \pi \circ c' \circ \iota_2$, where $\iota_2 : S^1 \to S^1 \times S^1$ is the inclusion of the second factor and $\pi : W_{\max} \to W_{\max}/S^1$ is the orbit map. Since $c \in \ker \phi$, there is a map $c'' : S^1 \to S^1$ such that $c' \circ (\iota_2 * (\iota_1 \circ c''))$ is contained in the kernel of f_*. Therefore by precomposing c' with

$$(s, t) \mapsto (sc''(t), t)$$

we may assume that $c' \circ \iota_2 \in \ker \hat{\nu}_*^{-1} \circ f_*$. Therefore we can do equivariant surgery on c' to construct a new B-bordism W' such that $\pi_1(W'_{\max}/S^1) = \pi_1(W_{\max}/S^1)/\langle c \rangle$, where $\langle c \rangle$ denotes the normal subgroup of $\pi_1(W_{\max}/S^1)$ which is generated by c.

Since $\pi_1(W_{\max}/S^1)$ and $\pi_1(M_{\max}/S^1)$ are finitely presentable, it follows that $\ker\phi$ is finitely generated as a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(W_{\max}/S^1)$. Therefore after a finite number of iterations of the above surgery step we may achieve that $\ker \hat{\nu}_*^{-1}\circ f_* = 0$. This is equivalent to the fact that $\pi_1(M_{\max}) \to \pi_1(W_{\max})$ is an isomorphism.

The next step is to make the map $\pi_2(M_{\max}) \to \pi_2(W_{\max})$ surjective. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \pi_2(M_{\max}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_2(M_{\max}/S^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(S^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \pi_1(M_{\max}) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \pi_2(W_{\max}) \arrow[r] & \pi_2(W_{\max}/S^1) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(S^1) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(W_{\max})
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows from an application of the snake lemma that there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_2(W_{\max})/\pi_2(M_{\max}) \to \pi_2(W_{\max}/S^1)/\pi_2(M_{\max}/S^1).$$

Let $c: S^2 \to W_{\max}$ be a representative of a class in $\pi_2(W_{\max})/\pi_2(M_{\max})$ such that $\pi\circ c$ is an embedding. Then there is an equivariant embedding $c' : S^1\times S^2 \hookrightarrow W_{\max}$ such that $c'\circ\iota_2 = c$. Since $\hat{\nu}_* : \pi_2(M_{\max}) \to \pi_2(B)$ is surjective we may assume that $f_*c = 0$. Therefore we can do equivariant surgery on c' to construct a new B-bordism W' such that

$$\pi_2(W'_{\max}/S^1) = \pi_2(W_{\max}/S^1)/\langle\pi_*c\rangle,$$

where $\langle\pi_*c\rangle$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W_{\max}/S^1)]$-submodule of $\pi_2(W_{\max}/S^1)$ which is generated by π_*c.

Since $\pi_2(W_{\max})/S^1$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W_{\max}/S^1)]$-module we get after a finite number of repetitions of this step a bordism W' for which

$$\pi_2(W'_{\max})/\pi_2(M_{\max}) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 5.8. If in the situation of the above lemma $M_{\max}$ is spin, then $p : B = B\pi_1(M_{\max})\times BSpin \to BO$ and $\hat{\nu} = f\times s : M_{\max} \to B$, where p is the composition of the projection on the second factor with the natural fibration $BSpin \to BO$, f is the classifying map of the universal covering of $M_{\max}$ and s is a Spin-structure on $M_{\max}$, satisfies the assumptions on B.

If $M_{\max}$ is orientable, not spin with universal covering not spin, then $p : B = B\pi_1(M_{\max})\times BSO \to BO$ and $\hat{\nu} = f\times s : M_{\max} \to B$, where p is the composition of the projection on the second factor with the natural fibration $BSO \to BO$, f is the classifying map of the universal covering of $M_{\max}$ and s is a orientation on $M_{\max}$, satisfies the assumptions on B.

If $M_{\max}$ is orientable, not spin with universal covering spin, then it follows that $w_2(M_{\max}) = f^*(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in H^2(B\pi_1(M_{\max};\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$. Let $Y(\pi_1(M_{\max}),\beta)$ be the pullback of $\beta : B\pi_1(M_{\max}) \to B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $w_2 : BSO \to B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $f\times s : M_{\max} \to B\pi_1(M_{\max})\times BSO$ as in the previous case lifts to a map $\hat{\nu} : M_{\max} \to Y(\pi_1(M_{\max}),\beta)$. If we let $p : B = Y(\pi_1(M_{\max}),\beta) \to BO$ be the composition of the natural fibrations $Y(\pi_1(M_{\max}),\beta) \to BSO$ and $BSO \to BO$, then $\hat{\nu}$ and B satisfy the assumptions from the above lemma.

For more details see [26].

Now we can prove the following generalization of Theorem 34 of [13].

Theorem 5.9. *Let Z be a compact connected oriented S^1-bordism between closed S^1-manifolds X and Y. Assume that for all subgroups $H \subset S^1$ all components of codimension two of Z^H are orientable and that the following holds*

(1) $\dim Z/S^1 \geq 6$,
(2) $\operatorname{codim} Z^{S^1} \geq 4$,
(3) *There is a B-structure on Z_{max}, whose restriction to Y_{max} induces a two-equivalence $Y_{max} \to B$.*

Then, if X admits an S^1-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2, then Y admits an S^1-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2 outside a tubular neighborhood of Y^{S^1}.

Proof. Let $\dim Z = n+1$. The first step in the proof is to replace the bordism Z by a B-bordism Z' between X and Y' such that

(1) All codimension two strata in Z' meet Y'.
(2) Y can be constructed from Y' by resolving singular strata.

By (2) and Theorem 5.4 it is sufficient to construct a metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2 on Y'. The construction of Z' is as follows. Let F be a codimension 2 singular stratum in Z which does not meet Y and $\Omega \subset F$ an orbit. By the slice theorem there is a S^1-invariant tubular neighborhood N of Ω in Z which is S^1-equivariantly diffeomorphic to

$$S^1 \times_H (D^{n-2} \times D(W)).$$

Then we have $\partial N = S^1 \times_H (D^{n-2} \times S(W) \cup S^{n-3} \times D(W))$. Let $B \subset \partial N$ be a tubular neighborhood of an orbit in $S^1 \times_H (D^{n-2} \times S(W))$. Then B is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times D^{n-1}$. Since $Z_{\max}/S^1$ is connected, there is an embedding

$$\Psi : S^1 \times D^{n-1} \times [0,1] \hookrightarrow Z_{\max},$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &S^1 \times D^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset Y_{\max} \\ &S^1 \times D^{n-1} \times \{1\} = B \\ &S^1 \times D^{n-1} \times]0,1[\subset Z - (Y \cup N). \end{aligned}$$

We set $Z' = Z - (N \cup \operatorname{im} \Psi)$.

As in the proof of Theorem 34 of [13] one sees that (1) and (2) hold. To be more precise we have an equivariant diffeomorphism

$$Y \cong (Y' - \phi'(S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times D(W)))) \cup \phi(S^1 \times S^{n-3} \times D^2),$$

where $\phi' : S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times D(W)) \to Y'$ and $\phi : S^1 \times S^{n-3} \times D^2 \to Y$ are equivariant embeddings, such that $\operatorname{im} \phi$ is contained in an equivariant coordinate chart of Y.

Since $\pi_2(B)$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(B)]$-module we can assume that $\operatorname{im} \Psi$ avoids a finite set of embedded two-spheres which are mapped by the B-structure ν to the generators of $\pi_2(B)$. Hence, we may assume that

$$\nu'_* : \pi_2(Y'_{\max}) \to \pi_2(B)$$

is still surjective.

But there might be a non-trivial linking sphere $S^1 \subset Y'_{\max}/S^1$ of $\Sigma/S^1 \subset Y'/S^1$ where $\Sigma \subset Y'$ is the singular stratum $\phi'(S^1 \times_H (S^{n-3} \times \{0\})$. This problem can be dealt with as in Hanke's paper by attaching a 2-handle to Z which can be canceled by a 3-handle. Therefore the same argument as in Hanke's paper leads to an isomorphism $\pi_1(Y') \to \pi_1(B)$.

Now it follows from Lemma 5.7 and Theorem 15 of [13] (with the refinement of Lemma 5.5), that Y' admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2. Therefore it follows from Theorem 5.4 that Y admits such a metric. □

Remark 5.10. Given the other conditions on Z from the above Theorem, the condition $\operatorname{codim} Z^{S^1} \geq 4$ cannot be relaxed. This can be seen as follows. Let Y be a free simply connected S^1-manifold, whose orbit space does not admit a metric of positive scalar curvature. Then Y is necessarily spin and the S^1-action is of even type. Let Z be the trace of an equivariant surgery on an orbit in M, as in Lemma 3.1 of [35]. Then Z is a semi-free S^1-manifold, not spin and has a codimension two fixed point component which meets the boundary component X which is not equal to Y. By Theorem 2.4 of [35], X admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. But $Z_{\max}$ is homotopy equivalent to Y and therefore admits a Spin-structure. Therefore, by Remark 5.8, all assumptions of Theorem 5.9 except the one about the codimension of the fixed point set are satisfied.

We have the following corollaries to Theorem 5.9:

Corollary 5.11. *Let M be a Spin S^1-manifold of dimension at least six with simply connected maximal stratum and without fixed point components of codimension two. Then M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2 if and only if M is equivariantly spin-bordant to a manifold M' which admits such a metric and has no fixed point components of codimension two, such that the bordism Z between M and M' does not have fixed point components of codimension two.*

Proof. Let Z be an equivariant Spin cobordism between M and M' as above.

Then, by Remark 5.6, all codimension two strata of Z are orientable. Therefore the corollary follows from Theorem 5.9. ☐

Corollary 5.12. *Let M be a S^1-manifold of dimension at least six with simply connected non-spin maximal stratum and without fixed point components of codimension two such that all singular strata of M are orientable. Then M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension 2 if and only if there is an equivariant bordism whose singular strata are orientable between M and a manifold M' which admits such a metric and has no fixed point components of codimension two.*

Proof. Let Z be a equivariant bordism between M and M'. Then there might be codimension two fixed point components in Z. But by assumption they do not meet the boundary. Therefore we can cut them out of Z. This construction leads to a new bordism Z' between M and $M' \amalg M_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg M_k$, where the S^1 acts freely on the M_i. After attaching handles of codimension at least 3 to Z', we may assume that the M_i/S^1 are simply connected and not spin. Since the M_i/S^1 are not spin, it follows from Theorem C of [3] that there is an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature on each M_i. Hence, the corollary follows from Theorem 5.9. ☐

Note that if the T-manifold M satisfies condition C, then for all closed subgroups $H \subset T$ the fixed point set M^H is orientable.

Lemma 5.13. *Let M be a S^1_0-manifold, where $S^1_0 = S^1$, which satisfies condition C such that there is a fixed point component F of codimension two. Then there is an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature on M which is normally symmetric in codimension two.*

Proof. This follows from an inspection of the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [35] and Lemma 24 of [13]. We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [35].

Since M satisfies condition C, this also holds for the $S^1_0 \times S^1_1$-manifold $Z \times D^2$. Hence it follows from Lemma 24[1] of [13] , that

$$\partial(Z \times D^2) = SF \times D^2 \cup Z \times S^1,$$

---

[1] The proof of this lemma also holds for T-manifolds where T is a torus, instead of S^1-manifolds.

admits a $S_0^1 \times S_1^1$-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two.

For $H \subsetneq S_0^1$, we have $p^{-1}(M^H) = (\partial(Z \times D^2))^H$, where

$$p : \partial(Z \times D^2) \to M = \partial(Z \times D^2)/\operatorname{diag}(S_0^1 \times S_1^1)$$

is the orbit map of the $\operatorname{diag}(S_0^1 \times S_1^1)$-action. Hence, it follows from the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [35] that M admits a S_0^1-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two. ☐

Using the above lemma we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.14. *Let M be a connected S^1-manifold satisfying condition C, such that*

$$\pi_1(M_{max}) = 0$$

and M_{max} is not Spin. Moreover, let $J \subset \Omega^*_{C,S^1}$ be the ideal generated by connected manifolds with non-trivial S^1-actions. If $\dim M \geq 6$ and $[M] \in J^2$, then M admits an S^1-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 of [35] we may assume that $\operatorname{codim} M^{S^1} \geq 4$. Let M_i, N_i be connected manifolds with non-trivial S^1-action satisfying Condition C, such that

$$[M] = \sum_i [M_i \times N_i].$$

Since $\Omega^1_{C,S^1} = 0$, we may assume that $\dim M_i, \dim N_i \geq 2$ for all i. Hence, by Lemma 3.1 of [35] we may assume that all M_i and N_i have S^1-fixed point components of codimension two. Therefore by Lemma 5.13, we may assume that $M_i \times N_i$ admits an S^1-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two. Hence the theorem follows from Corollary 5.12. ☐

6. Normally symmetric metrics are generic

In this section we prove that under mild conditions on the isotropy groups of the singular strata of codimension two in an S^1-manifold M, any invariant metric g on M can be deformed to a metric which is normally symmetric in codimension two.

The main result of this section is as follows:

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be an orientable effective S^1-manifold. Moreover, let g be an invariant metric on M.*

If there are no codimension two singular strata with isotropy group $\mathbb{Z}_2$, then there is an invariant metric g' on M which is C^2-close to g and normally symmetric in codimension two.

Proof. Let $N \subset M$ be a codimension-two open singular stratum of M. Let $U = S^1 \times_H W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$ be a neighborhood of an orbit in N. Here W can be assumed to be the standard one-dimensional complex representation of $H \subset S^1$ because the S^1-action on M is effective.

We pull back g to a metric $\tilde{g}$ on $\tilde{U} = S^1 \times W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$. This metric is $S^1 \times H$-invariant. Let

$$h : T\tilde{U} \otimes T\tilde{U} \to \mathbb{R}$$

be the Taylor expansion of $\tilde{g}$ in directions tangent to W up to terms of degree two. Then h might be thought of as an invariant function on

$$(S^1 \times W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3}) \times (W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}) \times (W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}),$$

which is linear in the copies of $W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ and a polynomial of degree two in the first copy of W. Therefore h can be identified with a map $\mathbb{R}^{n-3} \to ((S^0W^* \oplus$

$S^1W^* \oplus S^2W^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (W^* \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^{n-2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (W^* \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-2}))^H$, where S^iW^* denotes the i-th symmetric product of W^*. There are $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big((S^0W^* \oplus S^1W^* \oplus S^2W^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} ((W^* \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-2})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (W^* \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-2})\Big)^H \otimes \mathbb{C} \\
&\subset \Big((\mathbb{C} \oplus (W^* \oplus W) \oplus (W^* \oplus W) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (W^* \oplus W)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} ((W^* \oplus W \oplus (n-2)\mathbb{C}) \\
&\quad \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (W^* \oplus W \oplus (n-2)\mathbb{C})\Big)^H \\
&= \Big(W^{*\otimes 4} \oplus W^{\otimes 4} \oplus a_3(W^{*\otimes 3} \oplus W^{\otimes 3}) \oplus a_2(W^{*\otimes 2} \oplus W^{\otimes 2}) \\
&\quad \oplus a_1(W^* \oplus W) \oplus a_0\mathbb{C}\Big)^H
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for H of order greater than 4 and $b \leq 4$, we have

$$(W^{\otimes b})^H = (W^{\otimes b})^{S^1} \qquad\qquad (W^{*\otimes b})^H = (W^{*\otimes b})^{S^1}.$$

Hence, it follows that h is invariant under the rotational action of S^1 on W if the order of H is greater than 4.

Now we can deform $\tilde{g}$ so that it coincides with h in a neighborhood of $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset \tilde{U}$. This metric induces a metric on U which is invariant under the rotational action of S^1 on W.

Since N is orientable the rotational action on W extends to an action on a neighborhood of N in M with fixed point set N. Therefore we can glue the metrics on different neighborhoods of orbits in N. This implies the claim if there are no singular strata of codimension two with isotropy group $\mathbb{Z}_k$, $k \leq 4$.

Now assume that that there is a singular stratum of codimension two with isotropy group $\mathbb{Z}_3$. Then we have to show that the projection $\bar{h}$ of h to $a_3(W^{*\otimes 3} \oplus W^{\otimes 3})$ is trivial. This projection is of the following form

$$\bar{h} = \alpha_1(u)zdzdz + \beta_1(u)\bar{z}d\bar{z}d\bar{z} + \sum_j (\alpha_{2j}(u)z^2dzdu_j + \beta_{2j}(u)\bar{z}^2d\bar{z}du_j).$$

Here z denotes the complex coordinates in W and u denotes the coordinates in $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$.

In polar coordinates $z = re^{i\varphi}$ the above expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{h} &= r(\alpha_1(u)e^{i3\varphi} + \beta_1(u)e^{-i3\varphi})drdr + r^2i(\alpha_1(u)e^{3i\varphi} - \beta_1(u)e^{-3i\varphi})drd\varphi \\
&\quad + \sum_j r^2(\alpha_{2j}(u)e^{3i\varphi} + \beta_{2j}(u)e^{-3i\varphi})drdu_j \\
&\quad - r^3(\alpha_1(u)e^{3i\varphi} + \beta_1(u)e^{-3i\varphi})d\varphi d\varphi + \sum_j ir^3(\alpha_{2j}(u)e^{3i\varphi} - \beta_{2j}(u)e^{-3i\varphi})d\varphi du_j.
\end{aligned}$$

But by the generalized Gauss Lemma [11, Section 2.4] we may assume that g is of the form

$$drdr + h'(u, r, \varphi),$$

where $h'(u, r, \varphi)$ is a metric on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3} \times S^1_r$. Here S^1_r denotes the circle of radius r in W.

Hence, we may assume that $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \alpha_{2j} = \beta_{2j} = 0$. Therefore the metric can be deformed as in the first case.

The case of singular strata with isotropy group $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is similar and left to the reader. □

If M is spin and the S^1-action on M is of even type then there are no components of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ of codimension two in M. Therefore we get the following corollary to the above theorem.

Corollary 6.2. *Let M be a spin S^1-manifold with an effective action of even type. Then M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature if and only if it admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two.*

7. An obstruction to invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature

Before we prove existence results for invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature on Spin-S^1-manifolds, we introduce an obstruction to the existence of such metrics. Throughout this section we only deal with Spin-S^1-manifolds with actions of even type.

Assume that M is such a manifold and that there is no codimension two stratum in M and M admits a metric of positive scalar curvature. Let N be a tubular neighborhood of a minimal stratum M^H. Then, since $\operatorname{codim} M^H \geq 4$, there is an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature on $M_1 = M - N$ which is scaled and a connection metric on the boundary of M_1, whose restriction to the fibers of $\partial N \to M^H$ is given by a metric which is constructed from the round metric on S^k by a certain deformation [12]. Moreover, this metric on M_1 is a product metric near the boundary.

We continue this construction in the same manner with M replaced by M_1. Since there are only finitely many orbit types in M after a finite number of steps we will reach some M_k with a free S^1-action, such that

- M_k has a metric of positive scalar curvature,
- the restriction of this metric to a neighborhood of the boundary of M_k is a product metric,
- the restriction of this metric to the boundary has positive scalar curvature,
- the restriction of this metric to an open stratum of ∂M_k is a connection metric with fibers isometric to open subsets in deformed spheres.

By [3, Theorem C], there is a metric of positive scalar curvature on M_k/S^1. Therefore the index of the Dirac-operator on M_k/S^1 vanishes.

If the invariant metric on M does not have positive scalar curvature, we still can construct M_k and the metric on the boundary of M_k is still the same as in the above construction. We still have an Dirac-operator on M_k/S^1. Its index is an invariant of M_k/S^1 together with the metric on its boundary. We define $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M)$ to be the index of this Dirac-operator. One easily sees that $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M)$ is an invariant of the equivariant spin bordism type of M.

Now assume that M has strata of codimension two. Then by using the above construction we get a manifold M_k which has only minimal strata of codimension two. Moreover, there is a metric of positive scalar curvature on the boundary. This metric on the boundary can be assumed to be normally symmetric in codimension two by the discussion in section 6.

Now we can apply the following desingularization process to get a manifold M_{k+1} with boundary and without codimension two singular strata. It is similar to the desingularization process in [13, Section 4].

Let N be a codimension two singular stratum in M_k. Then a neighborhood of N in M_k is diffeomorphic to a fiber bundle E with fiber $S^1 \times_H D(W)$ and structure group $S^1 \times_H SO(W) = S^1 \times_H S^1_1$ over N/S^1. Here W is a one-dimensional unitary representation of H which depends on N.

The boundary of this neighborhood ∂E is given by the principal $S^1 \times_H S^1_1$-bundle P associated to E.

Now let $S^1_2 \subset S^1 \times_H S^1_1$ be a circle subgroup with $S^1 \cap S^1_2 = \{1\}$. Denote by E' the $S^1 \times D^2$-bundle $P \times_{S^1_2} D^2$ where S^1_2 acts by rotation on D^2. Then E' has the same boundary as E and we define

$$M_{k+1} = (M_k - E) \cup_P E'.$$

In this way we can construct a free S^1-manifold with boundary M_{k+1}.

Note here that M_{k+1} itself might depend on the choice of S^1_2. But its orbit space M_{k+1}/S^1 does not depend on this choice.

Since the constructions in Section 4 of [13] are mainly local arguments, they also hold in our desingularization process. This means that, if M_k admits a metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two, then M_{k+1} also admits such a metric.

In any case we have a metric on M_{k+1} whose restriction to the boundary has positive scalar curvature. Therefore as in the first case we can define $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M)$ as the index of the Dirac-operator on M_{k+1}/S^1. It vanishes if there is an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature on M which is normally symmetric in codimension two. Moreover, one can see that $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M)$ is an invariant of the equivariant spin bordism type of M.

For semi-free S^1-manifolds M, $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M)$ coincides with the index obstruction to metrics of positive scalar curvature defined by Lott [23].

8. Invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature and a result of Atiyah and Hirzebruch

Now we can complete the proofs of our existence results for invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature. These are as follows:

Theorem 8.1. *Let M be a connected effective S^1-manifold of dimension at least six which satisfies Condition C such that $\pi_1(M_{max}) = 0$ and M_{max} is not Spin. Then the equivariant connected sum of two copies of M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 of [35], we may assume that there is no codimension two fixed point component in M. Therefore, by Corollary 5.12, it is sufficient to show that $2M$ is equivariantly bordant to a manifold M' which admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two such that $\operatorname{codim} M'^{S^1} \geq 4$. By Theorem 2.2, there is an equivariant bordism Z which satisfies Condition C between M and $M' = M_1 \amalg M_2$, where M_1 is a semi-free S^1-manifold and M_2 is a S^1-manifold which admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two and $\operatorname{codim} M'^{S^1} \geq 4$. After attaching S^1-handles to Z we may assume that all components of M_1 are simply connected and not Spin.

Hence, the Theorem follows from Theorem 4.7 of [35]. □

Now we turn to the proof of a similar result for Spin-manifolds.

Theorem 8.2. *Let M be a spin S^1-manifold with $\dim M \geq 6$, an effective S^1-action of odd type and $\pi_1(M_{max}) = 0$. Then there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the equivariant connected sum of 2^k copies of M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two.*

Theorem 8.3. *For a spin S^1-manifold with $\dim M \geq 6$, an effective S^1-action of even type and $\pi_1(M_{max}) = 0$, we have $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M) = 0$ if and only if there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the equivariant connected sum of 2^k copies of M admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, the connected sum of 2^l copies of M is equivariantly bordant to a union $M_1 \amalg M_2$, where M_1 is a semi-free simply connected S^1-manifold and M_2 is a S^1-manifold which admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature which is normally symmetric in codimension two.

If the S^1-action on M is of even type, we have $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M) = 2^{-l}\hat{A}_{S^1}(M_1/S^1)$. Now the theorems follow from Theorems 4.7 and 4.11 of [35]. □

As an application of our results we give a new proof of the following result of Atiyah and Hirzebruch [2].

Theorem 8.4 ([2])**.** *Let M be a spin manifold with a non-trivial action of S^1. Then $\hat{A}(M)$ vanishes.*

Proof. We may assume that $\dim M = 4k$ and that the S^1-action is effective. Then by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, $2^l M$ is equivariantly spin bordant to a union $M_1 \amalg M_2$, where M_1 is simply connected and semi-free and M_2 admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. By Theorems 4.7 and 4.11 of [35], the obstruction $\hat{A}_{S^1}(M_1/S^1)$ that $2^{l'}M_1$ admits an invariant metric of positive scalar curvature vanishes by dimension reasons. Hence it follows that $2^{l+l'}\hat{A}(M) = \hat{A}(2^{l'}M_1) + \hat{A}(2^{l'}M_2) = 0$. This implies $\hat{A}(M) = 0$. □

9. Rigidity of elliptic genera

In this section we give a proof of the rigidity of elliptic genera. At first we recall the definition of an equivariant genus. We follow [24] for this definition.

A Λ-genus is a ring homomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_*^{SO} \to \Lambda$ where Λ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. For such a homomorphism one denotes by

$$g(u) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{\varphi[\mathbb{C}P^{2i}]}{2i+1} u^{2i+1} \in \Lambda[[u]]$$

the logarithm of φ and by $\Phi \in H^{**}(BSO;\Lambda)$ the total Hirzebruch class associated to φ. Φ is uniquely determined by the property that for the canonical line bundle γ over BS^1, $\Phi(L)$ is given by $\frac{u}{g^{-1}(u)}$.

Then for every oriented manifold M one has

$$\varphi[M] = \langle \Phi(TM), [M]\rangle.$$

For a compact Lie group G, the G-equivariant genus φ_G associated to φ is defined as

$$\varphi_G[M] = p_*\Phi(TM_G) \in H^{**}(BG;\Lambda).$$

Here M is a G-manifold, TM_G is the Borel construction of the tangent bundle of M. It is a vector bundle over the Borel construction M_G of M. Moreover, p_* denotes the integration over the fiber in the fibration $M \to M_G \to BG$.

It follows from this definition that if H is a closed subgroup of G, then we have

$$\varphi_H[M] = f^*\varphi_G[M],$$

where $f : BH \to BG$ is the map induced by the inclusion $H \hookrightarrow G$.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $G = S^1$ and M be an oriented G-manifold. Then the equivariant genus $\varphi_G[M]$ depends only on the G-equivariant bordism type of M.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that if $M = \partial W$ is an equivariant boundary, then $\varphi_G[M] = 0$. Since the homology of $BS^1 = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ is concentrated in even degrees and generated by the fundamental classes of the natural inclusions $\iota_n : \mathbb{C}P^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$, $n \geq 0$, it is sufficient to show that

$$0 = \langle p_*\Phi(TM_G), [\mathbb{C}P^n]\rangle,$$

for all n. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle p_*\Phi(TM_G), [\mathbb{C}P^n]\rangle &= \langle p_*\Phi(TM_G|_{\mathbb{C}P^n}), [\mathbb{C}P^n]\rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi(TM_G|_{\mathbb{C}P^n}), [M_G|_{\mathbb{C}P^n}]\rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Here the first two equations follow from the properties of p_*. Moreover, the last equality follows because $M_G|_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ bounds $W_G|_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$. This proves the lemma. □

A Λ-genus φ is called elliptic if there are $\delta, \epsilon \in \Lambda$ such that its logarithm is given by

$$g(u) = \int_0^u \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1 - 2\delta z^2 + \epsilon z^4}}.$$

We call an equivariant genus φ_{S^1} of an S^1-manifold M rigid, if $\varphi_{S^1}[M] \in H^{**}(BS^1; \Lambda) = \Lambda[[u]]$ is constant in u. The following has been proved by Ochanine [24].

Theorem 9.2. *The elliptic genus of a semi-free Spin-S^1-manifold is rigid.*

In view of the above lemma and Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show the following lemma to prove the rigidity of elliptic genera (Theorem 1.2). In an effective T-manifold the codimension of the fixed point set is at least $2\dim T$. The next lemma states that the T-equivariant elliptic genus of an effective T-manifold is constant if the codimension of all components of the fixed point set is minimal.

Lemma 9.3. *Let M be an effective Spin-T^n-manifold, such that all fixed point components have codimension $2n$. Then the T^n-equivariant elliptic genera of M are rigid.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the equivariant elliptic genus $\varphi_{T^n}(M)$ defined in Section 2.1 of Ochanine's paper.

This is defined as follows: For a lattice $W \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a non-trivial homomorphism $r : W \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ there exists a unique meromorphic function x on $\mathbb{C}$ such that

(1) x is odd,
(2) the poles of x are exactly the points in W; they are all simple and the residues of x in $w \in W$ is given by $(-1)^{r(w)}$,
(3) for all $w \in W$ we have

$$x(u + w) = (-1)^{r(w)} x(u).$$

From this one defines a genus φ such that $g(u)^{-1}$ is the Taylor expansion of $1/x$ in the point $u = 0$.

With this definition, $\varphi_{T^n}[M]$ can be identified with a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $F \subset M$ be a fixed point component and $\lambda_{1,F}, \dots, \lambda_{n,F} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ the weights of the T^n-action on the normal bundle to F. Since the T^n-action is effective and $\operatorname{codim} F = 2n$, it follows that

$$(\lambda_{1,F}, \dots, \lambda_{n,F}) : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$$

is an isomorphism. In particular each $\lambda_{i,F}$ is surjective.

As in the proof of Proposition 7 in Ochanine's paper [24] one sees that the genus $\varphi_{T^n}(M)$ is a polynomial in $x \circ \lambda_{i,F,\mathbb{C}}$ and $y \circ \lambda_{i,F,\mathbb{C}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here $F \subset M^{T^n}$ is a component of M^{T^n}, and $\lambda_{i,F,\mathbb{C}}$ is the linear extension of $\lambda_{i,F}$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, y is the derivative of x.

In particular, the poles of $\varphi_{T^n}(M)$ lie in the union of the following hyperplanes:

$$\ker \lambda_{i,F,\mathbb{C}} + z$$

with $z \in W^n$. Since the singular set of a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is empty or an analytic set of codimension one, we may assume that the singular set of φ_{T^n} has a non-empty open intersection with one of the hyperplanes above.

Since M is spin, the mod two reduction of $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,F}$ does not depend on the component F. It follows from the defining equation (3) for x, that a non-empty open set in the hyperplane $\ker \lambda_{i,F,\mathbb{C}}$ is singular for φ_{T^n}.

But the restriction of φ_{T^n} to this hyperplane equals $\varphi_{T^{n-1}}$ where T^{n-1} is the codimension one subtorus of T^n which is defined by $\lambda_{i,F}$. Since $\varphi_{T^{n-1}}$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, it follows that the intersection of the singular set of φ_{T^n} with $\ker \lambda_{i,F}$ can only be open if it is empty. Therefore φ_{T^n} does not have singular points. This implies that it is constant. ☐

At the end we want to compare our proof of the rigidity of elliptic genera with the proof of Bott–Taubes. The difference between our proof and the proof of Bott–Taubes is that they prove that the equivariant universal elliptic genus of an Spin-S^1-manifold M equals some twisted elliptic genus of some auxiliary manifold M' by using the Lefschetz fixed point formula. Using this fact they can show that the equivariant universal elliptic genus of M does not have poles. Therefore it may be identified with a bounded holomorphic function. Hence, it is constant.

We use the fact that we only have to prove the theorem for our generators of the S^1-equivariant spin bordism ring. For semi-free S^1-manifolds this has been done by Ochanine [24], by using localization in equivariant cohomology and some elementary complex analysis. The proof in the semi-free case is simpler than in the non-semi-free case because one sees directly from the fixed point formula that the elliptic genus does not have poles. Therefore one does not need the auxiliary manifolds in this case.

So, we only have to show that an S^1-equivariant elliptic genus of a generalized Bott manifold, which is spin, is constant. We do this by showing that the T-equivariant elliptic genera of a generalized Bott manifold M^{2n} are constant. The main new technical observation in the proof of this fact is that Ochanine's proof carries over to the situation where the codimension of the T-fixed point set is minimal.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. III*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 546–604. MR 0236952 (38 #5245)

[2] Michael Atiyah and Friedrich Hirzebruch, *Spin-manifolds and group actions*, Essays on Topology and Related Topics (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham), Springer, New York, 1970, pp. 18–28. MR 0278334 (43 #4064)

[3] L. Bérard Bergery, *Scalar curvature and isometry group*, Spectra of Riemannian Manifolds, Kaigai Publications, Tokyo, 1983, pp. 9–28.

[4] Lucília Daruiz Borsari, *Bordism of semifree circle actions on Spin manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), no. 2, 479–487. MR 882700 (88g:57037)

[5] Raoul Bott and Clifford Taubes, *On the rigidity theorems of Witten*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), no. 1, 137–186. MR 954493 (89k:58270)

[6] Glen E. Bredon, *A Π_*-module structure for Θ_* and applications to transformation groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 434–448. MR 0221518 (36 #4570)

[7] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., Band 33, Academic Press Inc., Publishers, New York, 1964. MR 0176478 (31 #750)

[8] Allan L. Edmonds, *Orientability of fixed point sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), no. 1, 120–124. MR 603614 (82h:57032)

[9] Carla Farsi, *Equivariant spin bordism in low dimensions*, Topology Appl. **43** (1992), no. 2, 167–180. MR 1152317 (93f:57044)

[10] Paweł Gajer, *Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature on compact manifolds with boundary*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **5** (1987), no. 3, 179–191. MR 962295 (89m:53061)

[11] Alfred Gray, *Tubes*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 221, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004, With a preface by Vicente Miquel. MR 2024928 (2004j:53001)

[12] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr., *The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980), no. 3, 423–434. MR 577131 (81h:53036)

[13] Bernhard Hanke, *Positive scalar curvature with symmetry*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **614** (2008), 73–115. MR 2376283 (2008m:53084)

[14] Akio Hattori and Hajime Taniguchi, *Smooth S^1-action and bordism*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **24** (1972), 701–731. MR 0309134 (46 #8245)

[15] Akio Hattori and Tomoyoshi Yoshida, *Lifting compact group actions in fiber bundles*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **2** (1976), no. 1, 13–25. MR 0461538 (57 #1523)

[16] Vappala J. Joseph, *Smooth actions of the circle group on exotic spheres*, Pacific J. Math. **95** (1981), no. 2, 323–336. MR 632190 (83b:57023)

[17] Katsuo Kawakubo and Fuichi Uchida, *On the index of a semi-free S^1-action*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1971), 351–355. MR 0286131 (44 #3345)

[18] S. O. Kochman, *Bordism, stable homotopy and Adams spectral sequences*, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 7, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR 1407034 (97i:55017)

[19] C. Kosniowski, *Applications of the holomorphic Lefschetz formula*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 43–48. MR 0261636 (41 #6249)

[20] Czes Kosniowski and Mahgoub Yahia, *Unitary bordism of circle actions*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **26** (1983), no. 1, 97–105. MR 695647 (84e:57032)

[21] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Shing Tung Yau, *Scalar curvature, non-abelian group actions, and the degree of symmetry of exotic spheres*, Comment. Math. Helv. **49** (1974), 232–244. MR 0358841 (50 #11300)

[22] Kefeng Liu, *On modular invariance and rigidity theorems*, J. Differential Geom. **41** (1995), no. 2, 343–396. MR 1331972

[23] John Lott, *Signatures and higher signatures of S^1-quotients*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000), no. 4, 617–657. MR 1758446 (2001f:58048)

[24] Serge Ochanine, *Genres elliptiques équivariants*, Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology (Princeton, NJ, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1326, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 107–122. MR 970284

[25] E. Ossa, *Fixpunktfreie S^1-Aktionen*, Math. Ann. **186** (1970), 45–52. MR 0263093 (41 #7698)

[26] Jonathan Rosenberg and Stephan Stolz, *Manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Algebraic topology and its applications, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 27, Springer, New York, 1994, pp. 241–267. MR 1268192

[27] Jonathan Rosenberg and Shmuel Weinberger, *Higher G-indices and applications*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **21** (1988), no. 4, 479–495. MR 982331 (90f:58170)

[28] Inès Saihi, *Bordisme de S^1-fibrés vectoriels munis de structures supplémentaires*, Manuscripta Math. **105** (2001), no. 2, 175–199. MR 1846617 (2002i:57045)

[29] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), no. 1-3, 159–183. MR 535700 (80k:53064)

[30] Reinhard Schultz, *Circle actions on homotopy spheres not bounding spin manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **213** (1975), 89–98. MR 0380853 (52 #1750)

[31] Dev Sinha, *Bordism of semi-free S^1-actions*, Math. Z. **249** (2005), no. 2, 439–454. MR 2115453 (2006h:57027)

[32] Stephan Stolz, *Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **136** (1992), no. 3, 511–540. MR 1189863 (93i:57033)

[33] Clifford Henry Taubes, *S^1 actions and elliptic genera*, Comm. Math. Phys. **122** (1989), no. 3, 455–526. MR 998662 (90f:58167)

[34] Fuichi Uchida, *Cobordism groups of semi-free S^1- and S^3-actions*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 345–351. MR 0278338 (43 #4068)

[35] Michael Wiemeler, *Circle actions and scalar curvature*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2015).

[36] Edward Witten, *Fermion quantum numbers in Kaluza-Klein theory*, Shelter Island II (Shelter Island, N.Y., 1983), MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1985, pp. 227–277. MR 830167 (87f:81144)

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany
E-mail address: `michael.wiemeler@math.uni-augsburg.de`